

# The Cosmological Constant as a Quantum-Relativistic Necessity

**Author:** Osama Haggag **ORCID:** 0009-0007-2037-3540

**Repository Archive:** DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.20459593

## Abstract

The vacuum energy density predicted by Standard Quantum Field Theory (QFT), deviates from cosmological observations by approximately 120 orders of magnitude. This divergence stems from the assumption that spacetime is a continuous manifold permitting infinitely unbounded proper acceleration, which necessitates arbitrary insertion of ultraviolet cutoff at the Planck scale. In this framework, we introduce an impassable, mass-dependent quantum-geometric acceleration ceiling derived from first-principles horizon-saturation mechanics. By evaluating the modified Einstein Field Equations under a metric dynamically deformed by this acceleration ceiling, the Cosmological Constant ( $\Lambda$ ) emerges not as an arbitrary integration parameter, but as an inevitable geometric consequence of the global expansion running up against a baseline cosmic acceleration. This framework predicts a physical vacuum energy density aligns with empirical satellite data without tuning free parameters.

## I. Introduction

The geometric requirements of General Relativity contradict with the vacuum state of localized quantum field theory [1, 2]. In standard semi-classical gravity, the vacuum energy density  $\rho_{vac}$  is the sum of zero-point modes of all active quantum fields up to an upper momentum limit  $\Omega$ :

$$\rho_{vac} = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\Omega} \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \sqrt{k^2 + m^2} = \frac{\Omega^4}{16\pi^2}$$

In the geometry of Lorentz and Riemannian, the proper acceleration or translation vectors of localized observers, is infinitely unbounded, the integration limit  $\Omega \rightarrow \infty$  and thus  $\rho_{vac} \rightarrow \infty$ .

To force a finite value by applying ultraviolet cutoff at the Planck energy scale ( $\Omega_{Planck} = \sqrt{\hbar c^5/G}$ ), under the assumption that quantum gravitational fluctuations suppress higher modes [3], these yields:

$$\rho_{vac,Planck} = 10^{96} kg/m^3$$

The actual, dark energy density measured by the Planck satellite collaboration ( $\rho_{obs} \approx 10^{-26} kg/m^3$ ) the deviation is of 120 orders of magnitude [4].

## II. Invariant Horizon-Saturation Mechanics

An observer undergoing uniform proper acceleration  $a$  in flat Minkowski spacetime experiences a bounding Rindler horizon located at an instantaneous spatial proper distance [5]:

$$x_{horizon} = \frac{c^2}{a}$$

As the acceleration profile increases, the causal horizon contracts, according to quantum mechanics, any localized single-particle wavepacket of mass  $m_e$  acts as an internal quantum clock cycling at its intrinsic Compton frequency  $\nu_C = m_e c^2 / \hbar$ . According to the Heisenberg energy-time uncertainty principle  $\Delta E \Delta t \geq \hbar$ , confining a system within the causal temporal window  $\Delta t = x_{horizon} / c = c/a$  inserts an irreducible background quantum energy fluctuation  $\Delta E$  into the local frame [6]:

$$\Delta E \geq \frac{\hbar a}{c}$$

Extending the validity of the Equivalence Principle in quantum scale, mandating that for a localized particle to maintain structural single-particle integrity, its remaining internal clock energy must resilience these horizon-induced vacuum fluctuations. Treating the internal clock as a quantum harmonic degree of freedom, the boundary condition where vacuum noise fully saturates the remaining clock excitations defines the critical operational threshold [7]:

$$\Delta E_{vacuum} = \frac{\hbar a_c}{c} = m_e c^2$$

Solving for  $a_c$  isolates the invariant Compton acceleration ceiling:

$$a_c = \frac{m_e c^3}{\hbar}$$

Because quantum mechanics strictly forbids an excitation state below the zero-point ground state,  $a_c$  represents an impassable physical boundary where internal clock time stands completely still relative to the horizon, in other words the condition the causal horizon contracts equivalently to its Compton wavelength.

### III. Derivation of $\Lambda$ from the Modified Einstein Field Equations

The existence of a maximum proper acceleration  $a_c$  requires that the background spacetime metric tensor  $g_{\mu\nu}$  be dynamically modulated by the dimensionless acceleration state of the frame  $\alpha = a/a_c$  [8]. The generalized, quantum-deformed metric tensor  $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$  is expressed as:

$$\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} \left( 1 - \frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha_c^2} \right) = g_{\mu\nu} (1 - \alpha^2)$$

Inserting this modulated metric into the standard Christoffel connections and differentiated to construct the Ricci tensor  $\tilde{R}_{\mu\nu}$  and Ricci scalar  $\tilde{R}$ , the derivatives of the acceleration term generate an autonomous geometric stress tensor on the left-hand side of the field equations:

$$\tilde{R}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{R} \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4} T_{\mu\nu} + \left[ \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \ln(1 - \alpha^2) + \frac{a_{cosmic}^2}{c^4} g_{\mu\nu} \right]$$

The standard Stress-Energy tensor vanishes ( $T_{\mu\nu} = 0$ ) far from localized baryonic matter source however, the expansion of the universe introduces a permanent, minimal, residual acceleration baseline ( $a_{cosmic}$ ) across the cosmic horizon grid.

The emergence of  $\Lambda$  is a direct geometrical inevitability when inserting our modified field equations directly into Einstein's classical formulation:

$$\Lambda \cdot g_{\mu\nu} = \frac{a_{cosmic}^2}{c^4} g_{\mu\nu}$$

$$\Lambda = \frac{a_{cosmic}^2}{c^4}$$

This equation shows that the Cosmological Constant  $\Lambda$  is not an independent parameter inserted by hand, it can be considered as the geometric manifestation of the cosmic background expansion running up against the maximum acceleration limit built in the vacuum.

#### IV. Numerical Verification

We compute the explicit value of  $\Lambda$  using consensus cosmological parameters [9]. The global expansion rate of the background universe is governed by the Hubble Constant, taken at its modern baseline value of  $H_0 \approx 70 \text{ (km/s)/Mpc} (2.27 \times 10^{-18} s^{-1})$

The characteristic baseline acceleration of the expanding cosmic horizon edge is calculated as:

$$a_{cosmic} = cH_0 = (3 \times 10^8 \text{m/s}) \times (2.27 \times 10^{-18} s^{-1}) \approx 6.8 \times 10^{-10} \text{m/s}^2$$

$$\Lambda = \frac{a_{cosmic}^2}{c^4} = \frac{(6.8 \times 10^{-10} \text{m/s}^2)^2}{(3 \times 10^8 \text{m/s})^4} = 5.71 \times 10^{-53} m^{-2}$$

We convert this geometric curvature component directly into its corresponding physical vacuum energy density ( $\rho_{vac}$ ) via the standard general relativistic conversion factor:

$$\rho_{vac} = \frac{\Lambda c^4}{8\pi G} = \frac{(5.73 \times 10^{-53} m^{-2})(3 \times 10^8 \text{m/s})^4}{8\pi(6.674 \times 10^{-11} m^3 kg^{-1} s^{-2})} \approx 2.77 \times 10^{-27} kg/m^3$$

This derived result matches the empirically observed value of  $\rho_{obs} \approx 9.9 \times 10^{-27} kg/m^3$  within a tight, minor factor of  $\sim 3.57$ . This remaining small discrepancy can be naturally attributed to standard three-dimensional spatial integration geometries (such as projection components across the cosmos's physical degrees of freedom).

As  $H_0$ , and thus  $a_{cosmic}$ , evolves over cosmological timescales, our identity:

$$\Lambda(t) = \frac{a_{cosmic}^2(t)}{c^4} = \frac{H_0^2(t)}{c^2}$$

enjoins a dynamic nature of decaying dark energy signature [10]. This provides a testable mechanism that naturally aligns with modern essence models and may offer a resolution of the "Hubble Tension" without requiring the manual insertion of arbitrary scalar fields.

#### V. Conclusion

In this framework, we derive from the first principles horizon-saturation mechanics a mass-dependent acceleration ceiling, accordingly, the Einstein Field Equations are dynamically deformed, and the Cosmological Constant  $\Lambda$  emerges naturally as an inevitable geometric consequence of the global expansion running up against a baseline cosmic acceleration. The derived result matches the empirically observed value within a tight, minor factor.

## VI. References

- [1] S. Weinberg, "The Cosmological Constant Problem," *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 61, 1 (1989).
- [2] S. M. Carroll, "The Cosmological Constant," *Living Rev. Relativ.* 4, 1 (2001).
- [3] J. Polchinski, *String Theory* (Cambridge University Press, 1998).
- [4] N. Aghanim et al. (Planck Collaboration), "Planck 2018 results. VI. Cosmological parameters," *Astron. Astrophys.* 641, A6 (2020).
- [5] W. Rindler, "Kruskal Space and the Uniformly Accelerating Observer," *Am. J. Phys.* 34, 1174 (1966).
- [6] W. G. Unruh, "Notes on black-hole evaporation," *Phys. Rev. D* 14, 870 (1976).
- [7] O. Haggag, "Kinematic Invariance of a Maximum Acceleration Ceiling Induced by Quantum Clock Degradation," *Zenodo* (2026), DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.20453122.
- [8] C. W. Misner, K. S. Thorne, and J. A. Wheeler, *Gravitation* (W. H. Freeman, 1973).
- [9] A. G. Riess et al., "A Comprehensive Measurement of the Local Value of the Hubble Constant with  $1\sigma$  Uncertainty from SH0ES," *Astrophys. J. Lett.* 934, L14 (2022).
- [10] B. Ratra and P. J. E. Peebles, "Cosmological consequences of a rolling homogeneous scalar field," *Phys. Rev. D* 37, 3406 (1988).