Tutorial: The Galilean Transformations' Conflict with Electrodynamics, and its Resolution Using the Four-Potentials of Constant-Velocity Point Charges

Steven Kenneth Kauffmann*

Abstract Acceleration is invariant under the Galilean transformations, which implies that a system moving at a nonzero constant velocity doesn't undergo acceleration it isn't already subject to when it is at rest. However a charged particle moving at a nonzero constant velocity in a static magnetic field undergoes acceleration it isn't subject to when it is at rest in that field (Faraday's Law or the Lorentz Force Law), and the needle of a magnetic compass moving at a nonzero constant velocity in a static electric field undergoes deflection it isn't subject to when it is at rest in that field (Maxwell's Law). The Galilean transformations therefore conflict with electrodynamics, and must be modified. Einstein obtained the modified Galilean transformations by postulating that the speed of light in empty space has the fixed value c, which in fact is a consequence of electrodynamics rather than a postulate. Here we instead read off the space part of a modified constant-velocity Galilean transformation from the contracted four-potential of a point charge moving at that constant velocity; its time part then follows from its space part plus the fundamental relativistic reciprocity property it shares with the unmodified constant-velocity Galilean transformations.

1. Invariance of acceleration under Galilean transformations conflicts with electrodynamics

The time t and space r Galilean transformation of a system due to its travel at a constant velocity \mathbf{v} is,

$$t' = t$$
 and $\mathbf{r}' = \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}t$, (1.1a)

which implies that transformation's effect on the system's velocity $d\mathbf{r}/dt$ is to merely subtract \mathbf{v} from $d\mathbf{r}/dt$,

$$d\mathbf{r}'/dt' = d(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}t)/dt = d\mathbf{r}/dt - \mathbf{v},\tag{1.1b}$$

and that transformation leaves the system's acceleration $d^2\mathbf{r}/dt^2$ invariant,

$$d^{2}\mathbf{r}'/d(t')^{2} = d(d\mathbf{r}'/dt')/dt' = d(d\mathbf{r}/dt - \mathbf{v})/dt = d^{2}\mathbf{r}/dt^{2}.$$
(1.1c)

However Faraday's Law or the magnetic-field Lorentz Force Law,

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -(1/c)(d\mathbf{B}/dt)$$
 or $\mathbf{F} = q(\mathbf{v}/c) \times \mathbf{B}$, (1.2a)

implies that a charged particle moving at nonzero constant velocity in a static magnetic field undergoes acceleration which it isn't subject to when that particle is at rest in that field. Furthermore, Maxwell's Law,

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = (1/c)(d\mathbf{E}/dt),\tag{1.2b}$$

implies that the needle of a magnetic compass moving at nonzero constant velocity in a static electric field undergoes deflection which it isn't subject to when that compass is at rest in that field.

Faraday's Law is the dynamical electromagnetic principle which underlies the functioning of electric generators, and the Biot-Savart-Maxwell Law is the dynamical electromagnetic principle which underlies the functioning of electric motors, so the *violation* of those two Laws of electrodynamics by the Eq. (1.1a) constant-velocity-**v** Galilean transformation makes *modification* of that transformation *imperative*.

Einstein obtained the modified constant-velocity- \mathbf{v} Galilean transformation by postulating that the speed of light in empty space has the fixed value c (which in fact is a consequence of electrodynamics rather than a postulate), and by keeping those features of the Eq. (1.1a) unmodified Galilean transformation which are compatible with that postulate.

Here we instead study the scalar and vector potential pair $(\phi_{\mathbf{v}}^q(\mathbf{r},t), \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{v}}^q(\mathbf{r},t))$ which are produced by a charge-q point charge moving at the transformation's constant velocity \mathbf{v} . The charge-density/current-density pair $\rho_{\mathbf{v}}^q(\mathbf{r},t)/\mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{v}}^q(\mathbf{r},t)$ of such a charge-q point charge moving at constant velocity \mathbf{v} is,

$$\rho_{\mathbf{v}}^{q}(\mathbf{r},t)/\mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{v}}^{q}(\mathbf{r},t) = (q\,\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}t))/(\mathbf{v}q\,\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}t)). \tag{1.3}$$

In Section 2 we obtain the decoupled equation pair for the scalar and vector potential pair $(\phi(\mathbf{r},t), \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r},t))$ which are produced by any charge-density/current-density pair $\rho(\mathbf{r},t)/\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r},t)$ that locally conserves charge by satisfying the equation of continuity, $d\rho/dt + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{j} = 0$. In Section 3 we use a specialized Fourier representation to solve those equations for $(\phi_{\mathbf{v}}^q(\mathbf{r},t), \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{v}}^q(\mathbf{r},t))$ in the special case that their charge-density/current-density pair $\rho_{\mathbf{v}}^q(\mathbf{r},t)/\mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{v}}^q(\mathbf{r},t)$ is that given by Eq. (1.3) for a charge-q point charge moving at constant velocity \mathbf{v} .

^{*}Retired, APS Senior Member, SKKauffmann@gmail.com.

2. The equations for the four-potentials of locally conserved charge and current densities

In addition to the *dynamical* Faraday's Law, $\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -(1/c)(d\mathbf{B}/dt)$, and Biot-Savart-Maxwell Law, $\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = (1/c)(4\pi \mathbf{j} + d\mathbf{E}/dt)$, the **E** and **B** fields are governed by the *non-dynamical* Coulomb's Law,

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = 4\pi \rho, \tag{2.1a}$$

and Gauss' Law,

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0. \tag{2.1b}$$

Gauss' Law implies that the B field can be expressed as,

$$\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A},\tag{2.2a}$$

where the vector potential $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r},t)$ is determined only up to the addition of the gradient of an arbitrary scalar function $\chi(\mathbf{r},t)$ because,

$$\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A} \quad also \ implies \ that \quad \mathbf{B} = \nabla \times (\mathbf{A} + \nabla \chi). \tag{2.2b}$$

Insertion of $\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$ into Faraday's Law, $\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -(1/c)(d\mathbf{B}/dt)$, yields $\nabla \times (\mathbf{E} + (1/c)(d\mathbf{A}/dt)) = \mathbf{0}$, which implies that $\mathbf{E} + (1/c)(d\mathbf{A}/dt) = -\nabla \phi$, where $\phi(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is the scalar potential. Therefore,

$$\mathbf{E} = -\nabla\phi - (1/c)(d\mathbf{A}/dt). \tag{2.2c}$$

Notice, however, that Eq. (2.2a), i.e., $\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$, and Eq. (2.2c), i.e., $\mathbf{E} = -\nabla \phi - (1/c)(d\mathbf{A}/dt)$, fail to uniquely determine the four-potential $(\phi(\mathbf{r},t), \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r},t))$ because it is also true that,

$$\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times (\mathbf{A} + \nabla \chi) \text{ and } \mathbf{E} = -\nabla (\phi - (1/c)(d\chi/dt)) - (1/c)(d(\mathbf{A} + \nabla \chi)/dt), \tag{2.2d}$$

where $\chi(\mathbf{r},t)$ is an arbitrary scalar function. In other words, the four-potential (ϕ, \mathbf{A}) isn't unique because (ϕ', \mathbf{A}') , where $\phi' = \phi - (1/c)(d\chi/dt)$ and $\mathbf{A}' = \mathbf{A} + \nabla \chi$, $\chi(\mathbf{r},t)$ being an arbitrary scalar function, also satisfies $\nabla \times \mathbf{A}' = \mathbf{B}$ and $-\nabla \phi' - (1/c)(d\mathbf{A}'/dt) = \mathbf{E}$. This scalar "gauge ambiguity" of the four-potential (ϕ, \mathbf{A}) will enable us to advantageously simplify the results of inserting $\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{E} = -\nabla \phi - (1/c)(d\mathbf{A}/dt)$ into the Biot-Savart-Maxwell Law, $\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = (1/c)(4\pi \mathbf{j} + (d\mathbf{E}/dt))$, and into Coulomb's Law, $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = 4\pi \rho$. Carrying out those two insertions produces,

$$\nabla \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}) = 4\pi (\mathbf{j}/c) - \nabla ((1/c)(d\phi/dt)) - (1/c)^2 (d^2 \mathbf{A}/dt^2)$$
 and $-\nabla^2 \phi = 4\pi \rho + (1/c)(d(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A})/dt)$, (2.3a)

which, after noting that $\nabla \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}) = \nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}) - \nabla^2 \mathbf{A}$, is readily algebraically manipulated to read,

$$(1/c)^{2}(d^{2}\phi/dt^{2}) - \nabla^{2}\phi = 4\pi\rho + (1/c)(d((1/c)(d\phi/dt) + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A})/dt) \quad \text{and}$$

$$(1/c)^{2}(d^{2}\mathbf{A}/dt^{2}) - \nabla^{2}\mathbf{A} = 4\pi(\mathbf{j}/c) - \nabla((1/c)(d\phi/dt) + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}). \tag{2.3b}$$

On the basis of the scalar "gauge ambiguity" of the four-potential (ϕ, \mathbf{A}) we are now permitted to *stipulate* that it satisfies the scalar "Lorentz condition" equation,

$$(1/c)(d\phi/dt) + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} = 0, \tag{2.3c}$$

which when inserted into the two equations of Eq. (2.3b) simplifies them into the following two decoupled equations for the potentials ϕ and \mathbf{A} ,

$$(1/c)^2 (d^2\phi/dt^2) - \nabla^2\phi = 4\pi\rho \text{ and } (1/c)^2 (d^2\mathbf{A}/dt^2) - \nabla^2\mathbf{A} = 4\pi(\mathbf{j}/c).$$
 (2.3d)

For Eqs. (2.3c) and (2.3d) to both hold, the charge-density/current-density pair $\rho(\mathbf{r},t)/\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r},t)$ of Eq. (2.3d) is obliged to satisfy the equation of continuity,

$$d\rho/dt + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{j} = 0, \tag{2.3e}$$

which ensures that charge is locally conserved. For physically sensible source functions ρ and \mathbf{j} which satisfy the equation of continuity given by Eq. (2.3e), attention can be focused solely on solving the two Eq. (2.3d) decoupled equations for ϕ and \mathbf{A} . We next turn our attention to solving the two Eq. (2.3d) decoupled equations for ϕ and \mathbf{A} in the special case that their charge-density/current-density pair $\rho(\mathbf{r},t)/\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r},t)$ is $(q \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}t))/(\mathbf{v}q \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}t))$ of Eq. (1.3) for a charge-q point charge moving at constant velocity \mathbf{v} .

3. The constant-velocity point-charge's four-potential and contracted four-potential

Before we undertake solving Eq. (2.3d) in the special case of the Eq. (1.3) charge-q point charge moving at constant velocity \mathbf{v} , for which $\rho_{\mathbf{v}}^q(\mathbf{r},t)/\mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{v}}^q(\mathbf{r},t)$ is $(q \, \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}t))/(\mathbf{v}q \, \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}t))$, we need to verify that the Eq. (2.3e) local charge-conservation condition,

$$d\rho_{\mathbf{y}}^q/dt + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{y}}^q = 0, \tag{3.1a}$$

holds. Doing so requires writing out in detail that $\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}t) = \delta(x - v_x t)\delta(y - v_y t)\delta(z - v_z t)$, which implies,

$$\rho_{\mathbf{v}}^{q}(\mathbf{r},t) = q \,\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}t) = q \,\delta(x - v_x t)\delta(y - v_y t)\delta(z - v_z t),\tag{3.1b}$$

and,

$$\mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{v}}^{q}(\mathbf{r},t) = \mathbf{v}q\,\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}t) = \mathbf{v}\rho_{\mathbf{v}}^{q}(\mathbf{r},t) = (v_{x}, v_{y}, v_{z})q\,\delta(x - v_{x}t)\delta(y - v_{y}t)\delta(z - v_{z}t). \tag{3.1c}$$

Therefore,

$$d\rho_{\mathbf{v}}^{q}/dt = -qv_{x}\delta'(x - v_{x}t)\delta(y - v_{y}t)\delta(z - v_{z}t) - qv_{y}\delta(x - v_{x}t)\delta'(y - v_{y}t)\delta(z - v_{z}t)$$
$$-qv_{z}\delta(x - v_{x}t)\delta(y - v_{y}t)\delta'(z - v_{z}t), \tag{3.1d}$$

and,

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{v}}^{q} = q v_{x} \delta'(x - v_{x}t) \delta(y - v_{y}t) \delta(z - v_{z}t) + q v_{y} \delta(x - v_{x}t) \delta'(y - v_{y}t) \delta(z - v_{z}t)$$

$$+ q v_{z} \delta(x - v_{x}t) \delta(y - v_{y}t) \delta'(z - v_{z}t) = -d \rho_{x}^{q} / dt,$$

$$(3.1e)$$

which implies the Eq. (3.1a) local charge-conservation condition $d\rho_{\mathbf{v}}^q/dt + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{v}}^q = 0$ indeed holds. Also, since $\mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{v}}^q = \mathbf{v}\rho_{\mathbf{v}}^q$, as has been noted in Eq. (3.1c), we see from inspection of Eq. (2.3d) that,

$$\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{v}}^{q}(\mathbf{r},t) = (\mathbf{v}/c)\phi_{\mathbf{v}}^{q}(\mathbf{r},t),\tag{3.2a}$$

so we only need to solve the Eq. (2.3d) partial differential equation which pertains to $\phi_{\pi}^{q}(\mathbf{r},t)$, namely,

$$(1/c)^{2}(d^{2}\phi_{\mathbf{v}}^{q}(\mathbf{r},t)/dt^{2}) - \nabla^{2}\phi_{\mathbf{v}}^{q}(\mathbf{r},t) = 4\pi\rho_{\mathbf{v}}^{q}(\mathbf{r},t) = 4\pi q\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}t). \tag{3.2b}$$

We note that a particularly simple specialized Fourier representation of the right side of Eq. (3.2b) is,

$$4\pi q \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}t) = (q/(2\pi^2)) \int d^3 \mathbf{k} \, \exp(i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}t)). \tag{3.2c}$$

We now assume that the as yet unsolved-for potential $\phi_{\mathbf{v}}^{q}(\mathbf{r},t)$ on the left side of Eq. (3.2b) has the same specialized Fourier representation as we have adopted in Eq. (3.2c) for the right side of Eq. (3.2b),

$$\phi_{\mathbf{v}}^{q}(\mathbf{r},t) = \int d^{3}\mathbf{k} \, \exp(i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}t)) \, \widetilde{\phi}_{\mathbf{v}}^{q}(\mathbf{k}). \tag{3.2d}$$

Inserting Eqs. (3.2d) and (3.2c) into the Eq. (3.2b) partial differential equation for $\phi_q^q(\mathbf{r},t)$ produces,

$$(-(\mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{v}/c))^2 + |\mathbf{k}|^2)\widetilde{\phi}_{\mathbf{v}}^q(\mathbf{k}) = (q/(2\pi^2)), \tag{3.2e}$$

which implies that,

$$\widetilde{\phi}_{\mathbf{v}}^{q}(\mathbf{k}) = (q/(2\pi^{2}))/(|\mathbf{k}|^{2} - (\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{v}/c))^{2}), \tag{3.2f}$$

and therefore from Eq. (3.2d),

$$\phi_{\mathbf{v}}^{q}(\mathbf{r},t) = (q/(2\pi^{2})) \int d^{3}\mathbf{k} \, \exp(i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}t)) / (|\mathbf{k}|^{2} - (\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{v}/c))^{2}). \tag{3.2g}$$

When $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$, so that the point charge is stationary, $\phi_{\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{0}}^q(\mathbf{r},t)$ is independent of the time t,

$$\phi_{\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{0}}^{q}(\mathbf{r}) = (q/(2\pi^{2})) \int d^{3}\mathbf{k} \, \exp(i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r})/(|\mathbf{k}|^{2}) = (q/(2\pi^{2})) \int_{0}^{\infty} k^{2} \, dk \, (2\pi) \int_{0}^{\pi} \sin \theta \, d\theta \, \exp(ik|\mathbf{r}|\cos \theta)/(k^{2}) = (q/\pi) \int_{0}^{\infty} dk \, [2\sin(k|\mathbf{r}|)/(k|\mathbf{r}|)] = q(2/\pi)(1/|\mathbf{r}|) \int_{0}^{\infty} du \, [\sin(u)/(u)] = q/|\mathbf{r}|,$$
(3.2h)

the familiar time-independent Coulomb potential of a charge-q stationary point charge. To evaluate Eq. (3.2g) when $0 < |\mathbf{v}| < c$, we define r_{\parallel} as $(\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{v})/|\mathbf{v}|$, the component of the vector \mathbf{r} in the direction of \mathbf{v} , and likewise, $k_{\parallel} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v})/|\mathbf{v}|$. We also define \mathbf{r}_{\parallel} as $r_{\parallel}\mathbf{v}/|\mathbf{v}| = (\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{v})\mathbf{v}/|\mathbf{v}|^2$, the part of the vector \mathbf{r} in the direction of \mathbf{v} , and likewise, $\mathbf{k}_{\parallel} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} k_{\parallel}\mathbf{v}/|\mathbf{v}| = (\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v})\mathbf{v}/|\mathbf{v}|^2$. We as well define \mathbf{r}_{\perp} as $\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_{\parallel} = \mathbf{r} - r_{\parallel}\mathbf{v}/|\mathbf{v}| = \mathbf{r} - (\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{v})\mathbf{v}/|\mathbf{v}|^2$,

the part of the vector \mathbf{r} perpendicular to \mathbf{v} , and likewise, $\mathbf{k}_{\perp} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_{\parallel} = \mathbf{k} - k_{\parallel} \mathbf{v}/|\mathbf{v}| = \mathbf{k} - (\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v})\mathbf{v}/|\mathbf{v}|^2$. The following identities now greatly aid the evaluation of Eq. (3.2g),

$$\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k}_{\perp} + \mathbf{k}_{\parallel}, \quad d^{3}\mathbf{k} = d^{2}\mathbf{k}_{\perp}dk_{\parallel}, \quad \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}_{\perp} + \mathbf{r}_{\parallel}, \quad \mathbf{k}_{\perp} \cdot \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{r}_{\perp} \cdot \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{k}_{\perp} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\parallel} = \mathbf{k}_{\parallel} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\perp} = 0,$$

$$\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{k}_{\perp} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\perp} + \mathbf{k}_{\parallel} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\parallel} = \mathbf{k}_{\perp} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\perp} + k_{\parallel}r_{\parallel}, \quad \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v} = (k_{\parallel})|\mathbf{v}| \quad \text{and} \quad |\mathbf{k}|^{2} = |\mathbf{k}_{\perp}|^{2} + (k_{\parallel})^{2}. \tag{3.3a}$$

Applying the foregoing definitions and identities to Eq. (3.2g), we obtain,

$$\phi_{\mathbf{v}}^{q}(\mathbf{r},t) = (q/(2\pi^{2})) \int d^{3}\mathbf{k} \exp(i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}t))/(|\mathbf{k}|^{2} - (\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{v}/c))^{2}) =$$

$$(q/(2\pi^{2})) \int d^{2}\mathbf{k}_{\perp} dk_{\parallel} \exp[i[\mathbf{k}_{\perp} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\perp} + k_{\parallel}(r_{\parallel} - |\mathbf{v}|t)]]/[|\mathbf{k}_{\perp}|^{2} + (k_{\parallel})^{2}(1 - |\mathbf{v}/c|^{2})] =$$

$$(q/(2\pi^{2})) \int d^{2}\mathbf{k}_{\perp} dk_{\parallel} \exp[i[\mathbf{k}_{\perp} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\perp} + k_{\parallel}(r_{\parallel} - |\mathbf{v}|t)]]/[|\mathbf{k}_{\perp}|^{2} + (k_{\parallel}/\gamma)^{2}], \qquad (3.3b)$$

where,

$$\gamma \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 1/\sqrt{1 - |\mathbf{v}/c|^2}.\tag{3.3c}$$

We now change the vector variable of integration in Eq. (3.3b) from $\mathbf{k} = (\mathbf{k}_{\perp}, k_{\parallel})$ to $\mathbf{l} = (\mathbf{l}_{\perp}, l_{\parallel})$, where $\mathbf{l}_{\perp} = \mathbf{k}_{\perp}$ and $l_{\parallel} = (k_{\parallel}/\gamma)$, which implies that $\mathbf{k}_{\perp} = \mathbf{l}_{\perp}$ and $k_{\parallel} = \gamma l_{\parallel}$, so Eq. (3.3b) becomes,

$$\phi_{\mathbf{v}}^{q}(\mathbf{r},t) = \gamma(q/(2\pi^{2})) \int d^{2}\mathbf{l}_{\perp} dl_{\parallel} \exp[i[\mathbf{l}_{\perp} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\perp} + l_{\parallel}(\gamma(r_{\parallel} - |\mathbf{v}|t))]]/[|\mathbf{l}_{\perp}|^{2} + (l_{\parallel})^{2}] =$$

$$\gamma(q/(2\pi^{2})) \int d^{3}\mathbf{l} \exp(i\mathbf{l} \cdot (\mathbf{r}_{\perp} + \gamma(\mathbf{r}_{\parallel} - \mathbf{v}t)))/(|\mathbf{l}|^{2}).$$
(3.3d)

Comparing Eq. (3.3d) with Eq. (3.2h), and then noting that $\mathbf{r}_{\perp} = \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_{\parallel}$, yields closed forms for $\phi_{\mathbf{v}}^{q}(\mathbf{r},t)$,

$$\phi_{\mathbf{v}}^{q}(\mathbf{r},t) = \gamma \phi_{\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{0}}^{q}(\mathbf{r}_{\perp} + \gamma(\mathbf{r}_{\parallel} - \mathbf{v}t)) = \gamma q/|\mathbf{r}_{\perp} + \gamma(\mathbf{r}_{\parallel} - \mathbf{v}t)| = \gamma q/|\mathbf{r} + (\gamma - 1)\mathbf{r}_{\parallel} - \gamma \mathbf{v}t|. \tag{3.3e}$$

Since from Eq. (3.2a) the vector potential $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{v}}^q(\mathbf{r},t)$ of a charge-q point charge traveling at the constant velocity \mathbf{v} is equal to $(\mathbf{v}/c)\phi_{\mathbf{v}}^q(\mathbf{r},t)$, we obtain from Eq. (3.3e) that the four-potential of that point charge is,

$$(\phi_{\mathbf{v}}^{q}(\mathbf{r},t), \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{v}}^{q}(\mathbf{r},t)) = q(\gamma, \gamma(\mathbf{v}/c))/|\mathbf{r}_{\perp} + \gamma(\mathbf{r}_{\parallel} - \mathbf{v}t)| = q(\gamma, \gamma(\mathbf{v}/c))/|\mathbf{r} + (\gamma - 1)\mathbf{r}_{\parallel} - \gamma\mathbf{v}t|.$$
(3.3f)

Since from Eq. (3.3c), $\gamma = 1/\sqrt{1-|\mathbf{v}/c|^2}$, Eq. (3.3f) yields the contracted four-potential result,

$$\sqrt{(\phi_{\mathbf{v}}^{q}(\mathbf{r},t))^{2} - |\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{v}}^{q}(\mathbf{r},t)|^{2}} = |q|/|\mathbf{r}_{\perp} + \gamma(\mathbf{r}_{\parallel} - \mathbf{v}t)| = |q|/|\mathbf{r} + (\gamma - 1)\mathbf{r}_{\parallel} - \gamma\mathbf{v}t|, \tag{3.3g}$$

which has a simpler form than that of any of the Eq. (3.3f) four-potential's components. This simple form certainly suggests that in order to resolve its conflict with electrodynamics, the space part, $\mathbf{r'} = \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}t$, of the Eq. (1.1a) unmodified constant-velocity- \mathbf{v} Galilean transformation needs to be modified to instead read,

$$\mathbf{r}' = \mathbf{r}_{\perp} + \gamma(\mathbf{r}_{\parallel} - \mathbf{v}t) = \mathbf{r} + (\gamma - 1)\mathbf{r}_{\parallel} - \gamma\mathbf{v}t = \mathbf{r} + (\gamma - 1)(\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{v})\mathbf{v}/|\mathbf{v}|^{2} - \gamma\mathbf{v}t. \tag{3.4}$$

4. Resolution of the Galilean transformations' conflict with electrodynamics

Note that when $c \to \infty$, $\gamma \to 1$ and Eq. (3.4) becomes $\mathbf{r'} = \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}t$, the space part of the Eq. (1.1a) unmodified Galilean transformation. That is a necessary property of the modified Galilean transformation because when $c \to \infty$, the electromagnetic Laws become $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = 4\pi \rho$, $\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{0}$, $\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{0}$, and $\mathbf{F} = q\mathbf{E}$, which no longer describe the velocity-dependent forces that conflict with the unmodified Galilean transformations. We also note that the part of Eq. (3.4) which is parallel to \mathbf{v} is,

$$\mathbf{r}'_{\parallel} = \gamma(\mathbf{r}_{\parallel} - \mathbf{v}t) \text{ or } r'_{\parallel} = \gamma(r_{\parallel} - |\mathbf{v}|t),$$
 (4.1)

while the part of Eq. (3.4) which is perpendicular to \mathbf{v} is,

$$\mathbf{r}'_{\perp} = \mathbf{r}_{\perp}.\tag{4.2}$$

The Eq. (3.3f) four-potential led us to Eqs. (4.1) and (4.2), the space part of the modified constant-velocity- \mathbf{v} Galilean transformation, but that four-potential doesn't by itself lead us to the time part of that modified Galilean transformation. The Eq. (1.1a) unmodified constant-velocity- \mathbf{v} Galilean transformation, t' = t and $\mathbf{r}' = \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}t$, however, has the attribute that reversing the sign of \mathbf{v} inverts the transformation, i.e.,

$$t' = t \text{ and } \mathbf{r}' = \mathbf{r} - (-\mathbf{v})t \text{ is equivalent to } t = t' \text{ and } \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}' - \mathbf{v}t'.$$
 (4.3)

This attribute of the Eq. (1.1a) unmodified constant-velocity- \mathbf{v} Galilean transformation implies that observing the "rest" system from the "moving" system is indistinguishable from observing the "moving" system from the "rest" system with the sign of the velocity \mathbf{v} of the "moving" system reversed, an effective equivalence of the two systems which we call their relativistic reciprocity.

The modified constant-velocity- \mathbf{v} Galilean transformation, whose space part is given by Eqs. (4.1) and (4.2), also must be such that reversing the sign of \mathbf{v} inverts that transformation, in order to ensure the relativistic reciprocity of the two systems it relates. But combining that with its Eqs. (4.1) and (4.2) space part causes its time part to counterintuitively depend on the velocity- \mathbf{v} space component r_{\parallel} in addition to depending on time t. Consequently the modified constant-velocity- \mathbf{v} Galilean transformation has the form,

$$t' = \kappa(t - \lambda(r_{\parallel}/|\mathbf{v}|)), \quad r'_{\parallel} = \gamma(r_{\parallel} - |\mathbf{v}|t) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{r}'_{\perp} = \mathbf{r}_{\perp},$$
 (4.4a)

where κ and λ are dimensionless entities whose values are determined by the requirement that reversing the sign of \mathbf{v} inverts the Eq. (4.4a) transformation. To be able to proceed, we tentatively assume that κ and λ are functions of γ only, which must be confirmed when the values of κ and λ have been obtained. Since,

$$r_{\parallel} = (\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{v})/|\mathbf{v}|$$
 and $\mathbf{r}_{\parallel} = r_{\parallel} \mathbf{v}/|\mathbf{v}| = (\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{v})\mathbf{v}/|\mathbf{v}|^2$ and $\mathbf{r}_{\perp} = \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_{\parallel} = \mathbf{r} - (\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{v})\mathbf{v}/|\mathbf{v}|^2$, (4.4b)

reversing the sign of \mathbf{v} reverses the sign of r_{\parallel} , has no effect on \mathbf{r}_{\parallel} or \mathbf{r}_{\perp} , and changes Eq. (4.4a) to,

$$t' = \kappa(t + \lambda(r_{\parallel}/|\mathbf{v}|)), \quad r'_{\parallel} = \gamma(r_{\parallel} + |\mathbf{v}|t) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{r}'_{\perp} = \mathbf{r}_{\perp},$$
 (4.4c)

which we next must solve for t, r_{\parallel} and \mathbf{r}_{\perp} in terms of t', r'_{\parallel} and \mathbf{r}'_{\perp} . Then we must determine the values of κ and λ which make that result the inverse of the Eq. (4.4a) transformation. To solve Eq. (4.4c) for t, r_{\parallel} and \mathbf{r}_{\perp} in terms of t', r'_{\parallel} and \mathbf{r}'_{\perp} , we first rearrange each one of the three equations of Eq. (4.4c) as follows,

$$t = (1/\kappa)t' - \lambda(r_{\parallel}/|\mathbf{v}|), \quad r_{\parallel} = (1/\gamma)r'_{\parallel} - |\mathbf{v}|t \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{r}_{\perp} = \mathbf{r}'_{\perp}.$$
 (4.4d)

We then substitute the first two equations of Eq. (4.4d) into each other to produce,

$$t = (1/\kappa)t' - (\lambda/\gamma)(r'_{\parallel}/|\mathbf{v}|) + \lambda t, \quad r_{\parallel} = (1/\gamma)r'_{\parallel} - (1/\kappa)|\mathbf{v}|t' + \lambda r_{\parallel} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{r}_{\perp} = \mathbf{r}'_{\perp}. \tag{4.4e}$$

The first and second equations of Eq. (4.4e) now readily yield t and r_{\parallel} respectively in terms of t' and r'_{\parallel} ,

$$t = (1/(1-\lambda))[(1/\kappa)t' - (\lambda/\gamma)(r'_{\parallel}/|\mathbf{v}|)], \quad r_{\parallel} = (1/(1-\lambda))[(1/\gamma)r'_{\parallel} - (1/\kappa)|\mathbf{v}|t'] \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{r}_{\perp} = \mathbf{r}'_{\perp}. \quad (4.4f)$$

For Eq. (4.4f) to be the inverse of Eq. (4.4a), κ and λ must be such that Eq. (4.4f) has the form of Eq. (4.4a) with t' interchanged with t, r'_{\parallel} interchanged with r_{\parallel} and \mathbf{r}'_{\perp} interchanged with \mathbf{r}_{\perp} . Therefore κ and λ must satisfy the following four equalities,

$$(1/(1-\lambda))(1/\kappa) = \kappa$$
, $(1/(1-\lambda))(\lambda/\gamma) = \kappa\lambda$, $(1/(1-\lambda))(1/\gamma) = \gamma$ and $(1/(1-\lambda))(1/\kappa) = \gamma$. (4.4g)

The third equality of Eq. (4.4g) immediately yields that $(1/(1-\lambda)) = \gamma^2$. Putting this result into the fourth equality of Eq. (4.4g) then yields $\kappa = \gamma$, which, together with $(1/(1-\lambda)) = \gamma^2$ is consistent with both the first and second equalities of Eq. (4.4g). Below Eq. (4.4a) we tentatively assumed, in order to be able to proceed, that κ and λ are functions of γ only; the validity of that assumption is now confirmed. The result $(1/(1-\lambda)) = \gamma^2$ implies that $\lambda = (1-(1/\gamma^2)) = |\mathbf{v}/c|^2$, since $\gamma = 1/\sqrt{1-|\mathbf{v}/c|^2}$. We now insert the results $\lambda = |\mathbf{v}/c|^2$ and $\kappa = \gamma$, along with Eq. (4.4b), into the time part of the Eq. (4.4a) transformation to obtain,

$$t' = \kappa(t - \lambda(r_{\parallel}/|\mathbf{v}|)) = \gamma(t - |\mathbf{v}/c|^{2}(r_{\parallel}/|\mathbf{v}|)) = \gamma(t - |\mathbf{v}/c|^{2}((\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{v})/|\mathbf{v}|^{2})) = \gamma(t - ((\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{v})/c^{2})). \tag{4.4h}$$

The Eq. (4.4a) transformation's space part is given by Eqs. (4.1) and (4.2), which are equivalent to Eq. (3.4),

$$\mathbf{r}' = \mathbf{r}_{\perp} + \gamma(\mathbf{r}_{\parallel} - \mathbf{v}t) = \mathbf{r} + (\gamma - 1)\mathbf{r}_{\parallel} - \gamma\mathbf{v}t = \mathbf{r} + (\gamma - 1)(\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{v})\mathbf{v}/|\mathbf{v}|^{2} - \gamma\mathbf{v}t. \tag{4.4i}$$

Eqs. (4.4h) and (4.4i) combined comprise the Eq. (4.4a) modified constant-velocity-v Galilean transformation,

$$t' = \gamma(t - ((\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{v})/c^2))$$
 and $\mathbf{r}' = \mathbf{r} + (\gamma - 1)(\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{v})\mathbf{v}/|\mathbf{v}|^2 - \gamma \mathbf{v}t$. (4.4j)

When $c \to \infty$, $\gamma \to 1$ and the Eq. (4.4j) modified constant-velocity-v Galilean transformation becomes,

$$t' = t$$
 and $\mathbf{r}' = \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}t$, (4.4k)

the Eq. (1.1a) unmodified Galilean transformation, which is expected; see the first paragraph of this section.

A fascinating highly counterintuitive feature of the Eq. (4.4j) modified transformation is that the evolution of a spherical-shell light-wave front is completely insensitive to the transformation's constant velocity \mathbf{v} , which of course is far from the case for the Eq. (1.1a) unmodified constant-velocity- \mathbf{v} Galilean transformation.

The locus of a spherical-shell light-wave front which is centered on $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{0}$ is $|\mathbf{r}|^2 = (ct)^2$, or $|\mathbf{r}|^2 - (ct)^2 = 0$. We next show that all Eq. (4.4j) modified constant-velocity- \mathbf{v} Galilean transformations preserve the quadratic form $|\mathbf{r}|^2 - (ct)^2$ regardless of the value of the transformation's constant velocity \mathbf{v} . We show that by calculating $|\mathbf{r}'|^2 - (ct')^2$, where $t' = \gamma(t - ((\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{v})/c^2))$ and $\mathbf{r}' = \mathbf{r} + (\gamma - 1)(\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{v})\mathbf{v}/|\mathbf{v}|^2 - \gamma \mathbf{v}t$ in accord with the Eq. (4.4j) modified constant-velocity- \mathbf{v} Galilean transformation. Thus,

$$\begin{split} |\mathbf{r}'|^2 - (ct')^2 &= |\mathbf{r} + (\gamma - 1)(\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{v})\mathbf{v}/|\mathbf{v}|^2 - \gamma \mathbf{v}t|^2 - (\gamma(ct - ((\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{v})/c)))^2 = \\ |\mathbf{r}|^2 - (ct)^2[\gamma^2(1 - |\mathbf{v}/c|^2)] + 2(\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{v})t[\gamma^2 - \gamma - \gamma(\gamma - 1)] + ((\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{v})/|\mathbf{v}|)^2[(\gamma - 1)^2 + 2(\gamma - 1) - \gamma^2|\mathbf{v}/c|^2] = \\ |\mathbf{r}|^2 - (ct)^2 \ because, \end{split}$$

$$[\gamma^2(1-|\mathbf{v}/c|^2)] = 1, \quad [\gamma^2 - \gamma - \gamma(\gamma - 1)] = 0 \quad and \quad [(\gamma - 1)^2 + 2(\gamma - 1) - \gamma^2|\mathbf{v}/c|^2] = 0. \tag{4.41}$$

Therefore $|\mathbf{r}'|^2 - (ct')^2 = |\mathbf{r}|^2 - (ct)^2$ regardless of the value of the transformation's constant velocity \mathbf{v} .

Einstein obtained the Eq. (4.4j) modified constant-velocity-**v** Galilean transformation by postulating the highly counterintuitive Eq. (4.4l) independence of the speed-c evolution of a spherical-shell light-wave front of the velocity of its observer, and by keeping those features of the Eq. (1.1a) unmodified Galilean transformation which are compatible with that postulate.

Einstein's highly counterintuitive postulate of the independence of the speed c of light in empty space of the velocity of the observer can understandably elicit skepticism or rejection. A minority who reject Einstein's postulate and demand reinstatement of the Eq. (1.1a) unmodified Galilean transformation arose immediately upon the 1905 dissemination of Einstein's paper and exists to this day.

In fact, the thesis that the speed of light in empty space is c for any observer is a consequence of electrodynamics rather than merely a postulate. In empty space the charge and current densities ρ and \mathbf{j} vanish, so the Eq. (2.3d) equations governing the potentials ϕ and \mathbf{A} are the pure wave equations $(1/c)^2(d^2\phi/dt^2) - \nabla^2\phi = 0$ and $(1/c)^2(d^2\mathbf{A}/dt^2) - \nabla^2\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{0}$, which admit only the wave speed c. Thus the highly counterintuitive fixed-speed-c propagation of light in empty space is a theorem of electrodynamics rather than merely a postulate. No matter how highly counterintuitive it is, a theorem of electrodynamics is far less likely to elicit skepticism or rejection than is a highly counterintuitive mere postulate. Even further to the point, the Eq. (4.4j) modified Galilean transformation has been experimentally verified to very high accuracy.

Possibly even less apparently dubious is that the initial stage of the electrodynamic repair of the Eq. (1.1a) unmodified constant-velocity- \mathbf{v} Galilean transformation by studying the four-potential of a point charge moving at constant velocity \mathbf{v} has no glaringly counterintuitive features. The simple form of the Eq. (3.3g) contracted four-potential of a charge-q point charge moving at constant velocity \mathbf{v} ,

$$\sqrt{(\phi_{\mathbf{v}}^{q}(\mathbf{r},t))^{2} - |\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{v}}^{q}(\mathbf{r},t)|^{2}} = |q|/|\mathbf{r}_{\perp} + \gamma(\mathbf{r}_{\parallel} - \mathbf{v}t)| = |q|/|\mathbf{r} + (\gamma - 1)\mathbf{r}_{\parallel} - \gamma\mathbf{v}t|,$$

certainly suggests that in order to resolve its conflict with electrodynamics, the space part, $\mathbf{r'} = \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}t$, of the Eq. (1.1a) unmodified constant-velocity- \mathbf{v} Galilean transformation needs to be modified to instead read,

$$\mathbf{r}' = \mathbf{r}_{\perp} + \gamma(\mathbf{r}_{\parallel} - \mathbf{v}t) = \mathbf{r} + (\gamma - 1)\mathbf{r}_{\parallel} - \gamma\mathbf{v}t = \mathbf{r} + (\gamma - 1)(\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{v})\mathbf{v}/|\mathbf{v}|^2 - \gamma\mathbf{v}t.$$

However requiring both $\mathbf{r}' = \mathbf{r}_{\perp} + \gamma(\mathbf{r}_{\parallel} - \mathbf{v}t)$ and relativistic reciprocity produces the time transformation part $t' = \gamma(t - ((\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{v})/c^2))$, which counterintuitively mixes the velocity- \mathbf{v} space component r_{\parallel} with time t. But there is a requital in this highly counterintuitive modified constant-velocity- \mathbf{v} Galilean transformation,

$$t' = \gamma(t - ((\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{v})/c^2))$$
 and $\mathbf{r}' = \mathbf{r} + (\gamma - 1)(\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{v})\mathbf{v}/|\mathbf{v}|^2 - \gamma \mathbf{v}t;$

it leaves the spherical-shell light-wave front $|\mathbf{r}|^2 - (ct)^2 = 0$ invariant, in accord with the electrodynamics theorem (rather than merely a postulate) that the speed of light in empty space is c for any observer.