

FROM PERIODS TO ANABELIAN GEOMETRY AND QUANTUM AMPLITUDES

LUCIAN M. IONESCU

ABSTRACT. To better understand and investigate Kontsevich-Zagier conjecture on abstract periods, we consider the case of algebraic Riemann Surfaces representable by Belyi maps.

The category of morphisms of Belyi ramified maps and Dessins D’Enfant, will be investigated in search of an analog for periods, of the Ramification Theory for decomposition of primes in field extensions, controlled by their respective algebraic Galois groups.

This suggests a relation between the theory of (cohomological, Betti-de Rham) periods and Grothendieck’s Anabelian Geometry [1] (homotopical/ local systems), towards perhaps an algebraic analog of Hurwitz Theorem, relating the the algebraic de Rham cohomology and algebraic fundamental group, both pioneered by A. Grothendieck.

There seem to be good prospects of better understanding the role of absolute Galois group in the physics context of scattering amplitudes and Multiple Zeta Values, with their incarnation as Chen integrals on moduli spaces, as studied by Francis Brown, since the latter are a homotopical analog of de Rham Theory.

The research will be placed in the larger context of the ADE-correspondence, since, for example, orbifolds of finite groups of rotations have crepant resolutions relevant in String Theory, while via Cartan-Killing Theory and exceptional Lie algebras, they relate to TOEs.

Relations with the author’s reformulation of cohomology of cyclic groups as a discrete analog of de Rham cohomology [2] and the *arithmetic Galois Theory* [3] will provide a purely algebraic toy-model of the said algebraic homology/homotopy group theory of Grothendieck as part of *Anabelian Geometry*. It will allow an elementary investigation of the main concepts defining periods and algebraic fundamental group, together with their conceptual relation to algebraic numbers and Galois groups.

The Riemann surfaces with Platonic tessellations, especially the Hurwitz surfaces, are related to the finite Hopf sub-bundles with symmetries the “exceptional” Galois groups $PSL_2(F_p)$, $p = 5, 7, 11$.

The corresponding *Platonic Trinity* 5, 7, 11/*TOI/E678* leads to connections with ADE-correspondence, and beyond, e.g. TOEs and ADEX-Theory [4].

Quantizing “everything” (cyclotomic quantum phase and finite Platonic-Hurwitz geometry of qubits/baryons) could perhaps be “The Eightfold (Petrie polygon) Way” to finally understand what quark flavors and fermion generations really are.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	3
2. On Kontsevich Conjecture Regarding Abstract Periods	3
2.1. Trends and State-of-the-Art of Research on Periods	4
2.1.1. Period identities, Hilbert's 3rd problem and Dehn Invariants	4
2.1.2. ... and Grothendieck's Conjecture	4
2.1.3. Why Belyi ramified covers	4
2.2. Is there a Ramification Theory of Periods?	5
2.2.1. Periods: "Numbers" or "Functions"?	5
2.2.2. The Category of Ramified Belyi Covers	5
2.2.3. The relation with KZ-moves	5
2.2.4. Prime Decomposition and Ramification of Dessins D'Enfant?	6
2.2.5. Rigidity vs. Continuous parameters	6
2.2.6. Homological vs. Homotopical	7
2.2.7. A Study of Periods of Elliptic Curves	7
2.2.8. ... and beyond: Hurwitz Surfaces	7
2.2.9. Periods of the Klein quartic and Belyi, Galois, Gauss etc.	8
2.3. Klein Quartic, String Theory and Elementary Particles	8
2.3.1. Applications to the Standard Model	9
3. From Periods to Anabelian Geometry	9
3.1. A Physics Interpretation of Period, and Montonen-Olive/T-Duality	9
3.1.1. Closed vs. Open Periods; Electric vs. Magnetic	10
3.1.2. Helmholtz/Hodge and "Maxwell's Eq."	10
3.2. ... and Quantum Physics Amplitudes (beyond Veneziano)	10
3.3. Arithmetic Galois Theory and Anabelian Geometry	11
4. Research Ramifications to TOEs and ADEX-Theory	11
4.1. The Platonic Trinity	11
4.2. Role of the Exceptional Lie algebra	12
5. Conclusions	12
References	13

1. INTRODUCTION

The proposed research¹ is a part of, and contributes to the long-term goal of understanding the relation between *periods*, as coefficients of the period isomorphism [5], and *scattering amplitudes* [6, 7, 8, 9, 10], as stated in a previous proposal [11], followed by research prior and during the previous visit to IHES [12, 13], as well as during the follow up investigations [14, 15]:

<i>Galois Theory</i>	<i>Scattering Amplitudes</i>	<i>Dessins D'Enfants</i>
<u><i>Abelian</i></u>	<i>Periods and Motives</i>	<u><i>Anabelian</i></u>
<i>Betti – deRham/Hodge</i>	...“ <i>HurwitzTheorem</i> ”...	<i>Chen It. Int./KZ eq.</i>

The ideas and specific problems to be studied are presented below.

The main goal is to conduct a study of Riemann surfaces over \bar{Q} , that admit a Belyi map, and to understand the relations between abstract periods and motives via *Dessins D'Enfants* §2.2.2.

Further speculations regarding important problems in Physics are included for future reference.

This “a priori” research plan is flexible, and the received guidance during an upcoming visit will take precedence.

Since the research proposal grew considerably, additional help will be requested via a proposal for a Summer School at IHES centered on “Periods, Motives and Applications to Physics”, to be submitted shortly.

2. ON KONTSEVICH CONJECTURE REGARDING ABSTRACT PERIODS

The author intends to investigate **Kontsevich conjecture on abstract periods**, and its relation to Grothendieck’s Conjecture [16], by considering the special case of *Riemann Surfaces representable by Belyi maps* [17], which started Grothendieck on his long march on Galois Theory [18, 19].

It is remarkable its deep relation to Galois Theory [20], hence with algebraic numbers, as “classical periods”, as well as a plethora of other “essential ingredients” (*Dessins d’Enfants*, cartographic maps, matrix integrals, moduli spaces, Kontsevich model, chord diagrams etc., conform Lando and Zvonkin book [21]).

¹Publishing this GP is in the spirit of modern open research methodology gaining popularity for its benefits to society. A distributed research, like the Great Mersenne Search has yielded notable results. It is also in the spirit of Klein’s belief that it is “ ” ... justifiable to publish connective observations of this kind ...”, whenever the subject “... which is after all one in substance, has been only too much broken ...” [43], p.216. This not a rely race, but may the reader take the baton further on.

2.1. Trends and State-of-the-Art of Research on Periods. An update (for the author) of the research on periods was in order. Some points to be investigated emerge.

2.1.1. *Period identities, Hilbert’s 3rd problem and Dehn Invariants.* In the concrete direction for studying periods, the work of Juan Viu-Sos for example [23], reduces periods $\int_D \omega$ to geometric volumes $\int_{\text{Semi-Alg}} \text{Vol}$ of semi-algebraic sets (see also [24], in an analysis in the sense of *measure theory*, to better understand the limit process from finite-additive to σ -additive. In some sense this is going “back-in-time” to Lebesgue and Borel, but notably under the guidance of Hilbert (3rd Problem).

It is notable, and worth investigate how Dhen surgery and invariants enter the picture. The reason is, that Dehn surgery provide (perhaps) an alternative description of how to build / glue a manifold, capturing Betti homology in a homotopical way. Does this refine the *homological* period isomorphism?

Additional references to be investigated are listed in [24], notably (for the author), presenting work by Waldshmidt and Yushinava.

The difficulty of this line of investigation lies on the “forgetting structure” when going from a categorical point of view to a “Cauchy/numebrical-methods/algorithmic” approach for the real numbers: $\mathbf{R}/\bar{\mathbf{Q}}$ is a difficult to understand object, conform with Grothendieck’s Conjecture ([16], p.2):

$$\text{Transcendental Degree}[Per(X)/\bar{\mathbf{Q}}] = \text{Dim}(\text{Motivic Galois group}).$$

2.1.2. *... and Grothendieck’s Conjecture.* In the conceptual direction, Grothendieck’s initial work on periods, he introduced (first?) *algebraic de Rham cohomology* to “organise” periods into an algebraic structure, and then the work evolved into *Anabelian Geometry*, around the *algebraic fundamental group*, and a general “Galois Theory”:

$$\text{Motivic Galois Groups} \leftrightarrow \text{Algebraic Fundamental Groups?}$$

with Chen Iterated Integrals as a homotopic version of de Rham Theory, and hence the well-known connections with MZVs, Feynman Integrals etc. (but not so well understood).

2.1.3. *Why Belyi ramified covers.* It is natural to look at *Belyi ramified covers of the Riemann sphere with a Mobius homological mark-up* ($SL_2(\mathbf{C})$ / “conformal group base point”): this (Belyi’s Theorem) was Grothendieck “turning moment” (letter to Faltings).

Ajub’s geometric conjecture (loc. cit. §5, Th. 40, p.7) seems to compare the algebraic fundamental group of a field extension and the relative motivic group. What the author takes from this, is the relevance of the homologic/homotopic algebraic de Rham/ Chen framework, probably subject to a “Hurewicz Theorem” ...

2.2. Is there a Ramification Theory of Periods? In view of the tight connections between algebraic numbers and periods, it is worth strengthening the analogy: “Is there a *Ramification Theory for Periods?*”.

2.2.1. *Periods: “Numbers” or “Functions”?* Note that “numbers”, like the algebraic i , have *geometric interpretations* (à la Klein geometry), e.g. rotation by $\frac{1}{4} \cdot 2\pi$, the fundamental period 2π ; i.e. the representation point of view is more lucrative, hence Galois Theory, complementing Archimedes’ Cauchy-like approximation of π .

On this dichotomy (numbers vs. functions), see also [25].

2.2.2. *The Category of Ramified Belyi Covers.* Consider Belyi maps for Riemann surfaces defined over the rationals, in analogy to covering maps and their deck transformations, or field extensions and Galois groups.

A morphism of Belyi maps is a morphism of Riemann surfaces compatible with the corresponding ramified covers defined by the Belyi maps B_i :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X_1, D_1) & \xrightarrow{f} & (X_2, D_2) & & \Gamma & \rightarrow & PSL_2(Z) \\ \downarrow B_2 & & \downarrow B_1 & & & & \downarrow \\ (CP^1, D) & \xrightarrow{Mobius} & (CP^1, D) & & Aut(CP^1) & = & PSL_2(C) \end{array}$$

Here $D = \{0, 1\}$ is the standard divisor on the Riemann sphere $S^2 = CP^1$, with standard chain, the cut $\gamma = [0, 1]$. Associated to these, on the Riemann sphere side, we have the standard period 2π and logarithm $\log(z)$.

On the “other side” of the ramified cover X , we have the corresponding Dessins D’Enfant $\gamma_i = B_i^{-1}(\gamma), i = 1, 2$, with their boundaries, the divisors $D_i = \partial\gamma_i, i = 1, 2$ [21].

The study of how the Dessins D’Enfant determines (relates to) the periods of Riemann surfaces, would be a starting point. The morphisms (via Hurwitz Theorem) are an analog of covering maps / field extensions, and could lead to a *Galois Theory for Belyi Ramified Covers*, Jacobian varieties, period isomorphisms for X_i :

Dessins D’Enfant & Jacobian Periods?

Remark 2.1. More generally, one can consider a category of epi’s / mono’s, the torsor of its associated subgroupoid, and a pair of adjoint functors, playing the role of a Galois Connection, in order to derive the “absolute theory” at Categorical Theory level, as a “tool-box”. It may lead to connections between motives and the theory of generalized cohomology theories (P. Hilton [26]), via triples and spectra.

2.2.3. *The relation with KZ-moves.* Linearity and Stokes Theorem are captured by considering the period isomorphism. The “change of variables” (diffeo/biholomorphic) is built in the formalism of differential forms.

Hence, it seems that the essential part of the KZ-moves, modulo the torsor structure due to equivalence via isomorphisms, is the way ramification ramifies under a ramified cover.

For covering maps this would correspond to the lattice structure of the fundamental group of the base space, via its universal covering map:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Deck transformations} & (Paths(X; pt), \pi_1(X)) \longrightarrow (Y_1, Deck(Y_1/X)) & \\
 \text{and} & \downarrow pr_1 & \downarrow pr_2 \\
 \text{Covering Maps} & (X, pt) \longrightarrow (X, pt) &
 \end{array}$$

On the other hand a differential form, e.g. a 1-form in our case, defines a monodromy, and therefore a ramified cover via path integral lifting. How all these relate to periods remains to be seen ...

2.2.4. *Prime Decomposition and Ramification of Dessins D’Enfant?* The ramification process (and theory) should go parallel to the ramification of primes under field extensions:

$$Alg. N.T. : e, f, g \ I \rightarrow D \rightarrow Gal(F_{p^f}/F_p) \overset{?}{\leftrightarrow} Mor : (X, Belyi_1) \rightarrow (X_2, Belyi_2)$$

Remark 2.2. It is about *Spec* of $Z - Mod$ and $Spec(Z)$ (primes) ...

The decomposition of primes is controlled by the structure of the Galois group (e.g. Abelian case/Cyclotomic $K = Q(\zeta_n)$: $Gal \cong Z/n^\times$ and orbit decomposition of the “space” Z/n ; ramification: multiplier by p dividing n , i.e. quotients/ resonance / substructure).

Note that restricting to 3-ramified points (Belyi maps), restricts the degrees of freedom of the lifting process (“flat connections”/local systems case/ representations of the fundamental group).

2.2.5. *Rigidity vs. Continuous parameters.* Specifically, consider the periods represented by quadruples (X, D, ω, γ) defined on Riemann surfaces having an algebraic numbers model over \bar{Q} , with a Belyi map [21], p.79:

$$Belyi \ Map : \quad f : X \hookrightarrow CP^1 \quad (3 - points \ ramified \ covers).$$

This case exhibits a *rigidity* (lack of a continuous parameter) [21], p.76), which hints towards a connection with braids representations, KZ-equations, MZVs etc. [22], essential concepts from the “Number Theory Side” of Feynman Integrals as amplitudes.

The case of higher number of ramification points probably corresponds to families of periods indexed by parameters.

2.2.6. *Homological vs. Homotopical.* An investigation of the Conjecture will start from understanding the relation between these periods and the “discrete DATA” (Dessins D’Enfants), as a (homotopical?) analog of the Hodge structure characterizing the Betti-de Rham *homological* period isomorphism.

The pertaining goal is to identify a more tangible (combinatorial) structure that corresponds to periods, invariant under a different kind of “moves” (e.g. Pachner moves, Rademeister moves, chord diagrams relations etc.) and allow for a correspondence with the 3-moves of Kontsevich’s Conjecture.

Byproduct of the study would be a better understanding of the relation between “homological” and “homotopical” periods, as intuitively corresponding to the “Abelian vs. Anabelian” case.

Indeed, Galois groups controlling algebraic numbers, as a special case of algebraic fundamental groups (homotopy), are special cases of periods, controlled by the algebraic de Rham cohomology. But these two algebraic theories should be related by an analog of *Hurewicz Theorem*, as intuitively “hoped” by the present author in the IHES talks [13].

2.2.7. *A Study of Periods of Elliptic Curves.* A specific study which could yield results², and a better understanding of the basic concepts and relations between them is that of elliptic curves. In this case the periods are related by the *Legendre relation*:

$$\det \left[\int_{\gamma_i} \omega_j \right]_{i,j=1..2} = 2\pi i.$$

References to be consulted during the upcoming research: [27, 29, 28, 30].

2.2.8. *... and beyond: Hurwitz Surfaces.* The case of Hurwitz Surface $X \rightarrow S^2$, maximizing the automorphism group is even more interesting to study, as it corresponds to Belyi maps with 3-ramification points of orders 2, 3 and 7 [31], and has applications to *Finite String Theory*: modeling baryons as finite Hopf fibrations (finite qubits), with Platonic tessellations (to be explained later on).

The “higher genus Platonic solids”:

Genus g Platonic Riemann Surfaces : $M(g; n) = \mathcal{H}_+/\Gamma$, $\Gamma(2, k, l)$ -triangle group,

some of which attain their Hurwitz bound $84(g-1) = 2 \cdot |\xi(M)||S_4|$, are good candidates for symmetry of fundamental stringy states, provide a mathematical framework where “(Finite) String Theory meets the Standard Model on the Quantum Computing ground”.

More specifically, the notable cases of automorphism groups $PSL_2(F_p)$, $p = 5, 7, 11$ (Dodecahedron $g = 0$, Klein quintic $g = 3$ etc.) is important in view of crepant

²Conform Polya’s advise [42]: “If you can’t solve a problem, there is an easier one you can’t solve; find it!”.

resolutions of orbifolds C^2/Γ , for finite subgroups of rotations Γ (Mckay/ADE correspondence etc.).

2.2.9. *Periods of the Klein quartic and Belyi, Galois, Gauss etc.* Klein’s quartic is a very good example to see how the geometry (group theory aspects) relates to algebra (Galois action) and the analytic (Jacobian) [33].

The maps from Klein’s quartic to tori (Hodge cycles as factors of the Jacobian?):

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (Klein, D_1)_{|F_7} & \xrightarrow{\psi_i} & (Torus, D_2)_{|F_7} & \text{Divisors vs. groups cosets} \\
 \downarrow Bel_1 & & \downarrow Bel_2 & \\
 S^2_{|O=S_4} & \longrightarrow & S^2_{|O=S_4} & \text{Hypermeps \& Dessins D'Enfant}
 \end{array}$$

will be related to Belyi maps presentations (loc. cit. §4.2) in terms of edges of tessellations or cuts with their associated divisors, providing a better understanding of the *geometric aspects*, coming from the Platonic tessellations, and the analytic aspects (1-forms, Betti bases and periods). ³

Other good examples, include two genus 2 RS with Platonic tessellations (cyclotomic over $Q(\zeta_5)$). For example, the basis for the lattice of periods computed loc. cit. p.44:

$$1 + \zeta^2 - \zeta^3 - \zeta^4, \quad -1 + \zeta^3 + \zeta^4 - \zeta^5,$$

should be related to Gauss / Kummer periods (see Klein’s map).

The case of Fermat surfaces $x^k + y^k + z^k$, also Platonic, invite to a study the connections with Weyl Conjectures, zeros and the corresponding Riemann Hypothesis (now a Theorem).

The *pair of pants decomposition* of Riemann surfaces [33] §5, could be related to the “Ramification Theory”, alluded to earlier, when related to Belyi maps. It is reminiscent of coproducts, as for instance in *Frobenius algebras* characterizing 2D-TQFTs, and of CFTs.

2.3. Klein Quartic, String Theory and Elementary Particles. These Riemann-Platonic surfaces, with tessellations reminiscent of lattices playing a crucial role in Algebraic Number Theory (lattice models of finite fields), and Algebraic Geometry (Hodge theory: Betti vs. de Rham structures), not clear how related to Belyi (hyper)maps, have symmetry groups which are related to the *finite Hopf sub-bundles*, derived from $S^1 = U(1)$ circle or $S^3 = SU(2)$ monopole bundles.

³This particular article of Karcher and Weber seems to be a perfect source of info relevant for the present research goals of the PI.

2.3.1. *Applications to the Standard Model.* Taking the Klein quartic as an example of a Platonic-Riemann Surface that is Hurwitz, defined by the fundamental invariant polynomial $\Phi = xy^3 + yz^3 + zx^3 = 0$ (usually denoted by Φ_4 [32]):

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbb{Z}/7 \rightarrow M(3;7) & U(1) \rightarrow S^3 & \text{Finite } G \rightarrow SO(3) \text{ \& } SU(2) \\
 \downarrow \text{Bel}_1 & \downarrow \text{Hopf} & \downarrow \text{Orbifolds etc} \\
 \text{Dodecahedron} \rightarrow S^2 & S^2 \cong SO(3)/SO(2) & \text{Platonic orbits \& } TOIs.
 \end{array}$$

opens the “right” way to understand elementary Particles and their mass:

The Eightfold (Petrie) Way!

with $SU(3)$ playing the role of a Galois group, and quiver representations refining Gell-Man’s 8-fold way via Gabriel’s Theorem [34, 35].

3. FROM PERIODS TO ANABELIAN GEOMETRY

This suggests a relation between the theory of (homological) periods and Grothendieck’s Anabelian Geometry, towards perhaps of an algebraic analog of Hurwitz Theorem, relating the the algebraic de Rham cohomology and algebraic fundamental group, both pioneered by A. Grothendieck in *Esquisse d’un program* [1].

Indeed, as early as during the previous visit, the author had a strong intuition of a “Hurwitz Theorem” larger framework surrounding the theory of abstract periods, motives and Galois Theory, as presented in his talk [13]:

$$\text{From Feynman Diagrams...} \qquad \text{to Line Integral : } (\gamma, \omega) \rightarrow \int_{\gamma} \omega$$

$$\text{Chen Iterated Int.} \rightarrow \text{de Rham Period Iso} \qquad \pi(X;p)/NC \rightarrow H^1(X)$$

The investigation will be along the following lines: think of γ as a cobordism, ω as a propagator and $\int_{\gamma} \omega$ as an amplitude (work/circulation); $\pi_1(X)$ as a groupoid makes sense and a “physical form” of Hurewicz Theorem seems to “refine” the period isomorphism.

According to the philosophy of Anabelian Geometry, “What is being represented here as space with this algebraic fundamental group?” (Compare with 1-forms defining connections whose monodromy define a representation of the fundamental group). What is the underlying “Representation Theorem”? (Models: Pontryagin, Tannaka-Krein, Yoneda etc.)

3.1. A Physics Interpretation of Period, and Montonen-Olive/T-Duality.

Periods $\int_{\gamma} \omega$ can be interpreted along the following lines, as follows; we will be specific: 1D-case of Riemann surfaces.

3.1.1. *Closed vs. Open Periods; Electric vs. Magnetic.* The flow of the 1-form, which mathematically measures the geometric object γ with boundary $D = \partial\gamma$, can be viewed as the *work/flux* of a “probe” in the dynamics defined by the 1-form.

Its poles and zeros have *charges*: electric charges are the *residues* $\int_{S^1(p)} \omega$ (Gauss Law; circle around point p), while the *periods are magnetic charges* $\int_{\gamma} \omega$, in a homological basis γ_i .

There are relations between charges, and Riemann-Roch Theorem restricts the possible dynamics.

3.1.2. *Helmholtz/Hodge and “Maxwell’s Eq.”* The Hodge duality corresponds to Helmholtz Decomposition. It hints to the fact that it reflects the structure of the *group of symmetries of the space X* (“Gauge group”):

translations/grad, rotations/curl, similarities/divergence.

The local group is conformal, with its polar decomposition; the “global aspects” are captured by the fundamental group $\pi_1(X)$ (“Galois Group”).

Correspondingly there is an underlying “gauge theory” with connection 1-form ω , and hence a *Motonen-Olive Duality* between “electric” and “magnetic”, which in String Theory corresponds to *T-Duality*.

The point is that the physical interpretation complements a “purely Grothendieck” approach for understanding periods, paving the road towards understanding Feynman Integrals, and more importantly, *intrinsic scattering amplitudes* (to be made precise in view of the new methods for computing MZV amplitudes: [36]).

3.2. ... and **Quantum Physics Amplitudes (beyond Veneziano)**. There seems to be good prospects of better understanding the role of absolute Galois group in the physics context of scattering amplitudes and Multiple Zeta Values, with their incarnation as Chen integrals on moduli spaces, as studied by Francis Brown, since the latter are a homotopical analog of de Rham Theory:

Quantum Amplitudes \leftrightarrow Integrals on Moduli Spaces.

The fact that *maximally helicity violating* (MHV) 3-point amplitudes resemble the cross-ratios on the Riemann sphere (essentially the unique Lorentz invariant), with logarithm a hyperbolic metric, and that these “structure constants” determine in a recursive manner the n -point amplitudes, resembling the antipode relation from Connes-Kreimer Hopf algebra approach to renormalization, is an indication that the quantum amplitudes are periods belonging to this framework: periods and motives ⁴

⁴Physics is Mathematics discovered experimentally ...

3.3. Arithmetic Galois Theory and Anabelian Geometry. Specifically, the author’s reformulation of cohomology of cyclic groups as a discrete analog of de Rham cohomology [2] and the associated analog period isomorphism, will be related with the *arithmetic Galois Theory* [3], again as a discrete, purely algebraic toy-model of the said algebraic homology/homotopy group theory of Grothendieck. It will allow an elementary investigation of the main concepts defining periods and algebraic fundamental group, together with their conceptual relation to algebraic numbers and Galois groups.

4. RESEARCH RAMIFICATIONS TO TOES AND ADEX-THEORY

The research will be placed in the larger context of the ADE-correspondence, since, for example, orbifolds of finite groups of rotations have crepant resolutions relevant in String Theory, while via Cartan-Killing Theory and exceptional Lie algebras, they relate to TOEs and VOAs.

The applications to ADE-correspondence, and beyond, e.g. toe ADEX-Theory [4], is an exciting R&D opportunity to perhaps finally understand what quark flavors and generations really are.

4.1. The Platonic Trinity. Arnold’s trinitities [37] refer to Platonic symmetry groups T, O, I , related to exceptional Lie algebras $E6, E7, E8$ via the double of the first as Weyl groups. It also includes their invariant polynomials, and the Hopf bundles, but without reference to Klein quartic and the Galois groups $PSL_2(F_p), p = 5, 7, 11$.

What is remarkable (on top of other things), is that these “exceptional” PSL ’s are *cyclotomic fibrations* over the Platonic groups of symmetry (TOI) of the Platonic tessellations of the Riemann sphere, as if they are finite qubit / Hopf bundles (see Galois’ last letter [38]):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}/5 \rightarrow PSL_2(F_5) & \mathbb{Z}/7 \rightarrow PSL_2(F_7) & \mathbb{Z}/11 \rightarrow PSL_2(F_{11}) \\ \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ A_4 = T & S_4 = O & S_5 = I \end{array}$$

Remark 4.1. These seem to be related to the genus 2 (Fermat surface), 3 (Klein quartic), as described in [33] (What about $p = 11$?).

Remark 4.2. The comments from §2.3.1 extend to this “coincidence”: is a locally finite model of the Universe, based on finite qubits, “*The Way*” to “quantize everything!?” ... justifying even the fundamental “postulates”, e.g. quantization of angular momentum!

On the other hand, how do they relate to the higher genus Platonic tessellations via Belyi ramified covers, e.g. Klein’s quartic as a Hurwitz surface!?

⁵... and further, with the Lie algebras, McKay and Gabriel reps theory, via ADE-correspondence.

4.2. Role of the Exceptional Lie algebra. Note that the role played by the exceptional Lie algebra, in this *Finite Qubit Model* (or *Finite String Theory model*) is *not* that of containing the SM gauge groups $U(1) \times SU(2)^L \times SU(3)$, since that would not be a true unification of interactions, and would not lead to a deeper understanding of masses of elementary particles etc., but rather as a kind of “loop groups” of paths due to multiple reflexions in the virtual Weyl mirrors, as if the baryons (modeled by these rank 3 root systems) are some kind of *kaleidoscopic beam splitters* [39]!

5. CONCLUSIONS

The main thread for the proposed research, is to study *algebraic de Rham cohomology* AND *algebraic fundamental groups* together, in order to understand why Feynman integrals (or scattering amplitudes in general, independent on a particular method of computation), are related to “homological periods” (algebraic de Rham isomorphism) on one hand, but are related to Chen iterated integrals in the Number Theory side, which is a *homotopical de Rham Theory*, hence to be studied in the algebraic context of Anabelian geometry.

This leads to the rich area of graphs embedded on surfaces, i.e. *Dessins D’Enfant*, as a sort of generalization of a lattice embedded in a vector space, and Hodge structures controlling how Betti homology “sits” inside de Rham cohomology.

A study of the category of morphisms of Belyi maps, which capture both the ramification data, but also divisor data and homotopy groups (Anabelian Galois groups), could in principle help clarify the role of the “change of variables” Kontsevich-Zagier relation, beyond the torsor due to isomorphism equivalence.

The analogy with the theory of decomposition of primes, corresponding to the structure of the Galois group (inertia, decomposition and degree), supports the belief that such a study could yield new results and a better understanding of the structure of the “absolute” ring of periods.

At the “elementary” level of Algebraic Number Theory, the representation point of view used to study the *arithmetic Galois Theory* (s.e.s. of Abelian groups and their $Aut_{Ab}()$ symmetries), functorially corresponding to (algebraic) Galois Theory, can be thought of as an analog of covering spaces and deck transformations.

This provides another example of “Anabelian Geometry”, “a la Grothendieck”, together with, and corresponding to, the “main example” of *algebraic fundamental groups*, namely the Galois groups.

This homotopical theory aspects of Galois Theory in the non-commutative case will be studied elsewhere, together with the homological aspects, via the relation between the discrete de Rham cohomology [2] and algebraic de Rham cohomology of Grothendieck [14].

Applications to physics are proposed via the special case of Riemann Surfaces with Platonic tessellations, and the study of the role of the Hurwitz surfaces, i.e. those with maximal symmetry. For example, Klein quartic is instrumental in String Theory. It

is explained how this study could be a bridge between the Standard Model and String Theory, via a qubit model (Hopf bundle) interpretation.

There are of course rich connections with ADE-correspondence, Klein singularities and orbifolds, RS as crepant resolutions etc. [40], and also with TOEs (e.g. Lisi's [41]) and ADEX Theory [4], emphasizing the roles of the exceptional Lie groups in fundamental physics.

REFERENCES

- [1] Wikipedia, Anabelian geometry, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Anabelian_geometry
- [2] L. M. Ionescu, A discrete analog of de Rham cohomology on finite abelian groups as manifolds, JP Journal of Algebra, Number Theory and Applications Volume 39, Issue 6, Pages 891 - 906 (December 2017).
- [3] Fusun Akman and Lucian M. Ionescu, "Applications of a Galois connection of a group action to Abelian Class Field Theory", work in preparation, 2019.
- [4] Saul-Paul Sirag, "ADEX Theory: How The Ade Coxeter Graphs Unify Mathematics And Physics (Knots and Everything)", World Scientific, 2016.
- [5] M. Kontsevich and D. Zagier, Periods, IHES 2001, <https://www.maths.ed.ac.uk/~v1ranick/papers/kontzagi.pdf>
- [6] Dirk Kreimer, "The residues of quantum field theory - numbers we should know", <https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0404090>
- [7] K. Hartnett, "Strange numbers found in particle collisions", Quanta Magazine, <https://www.quantamagazine.org/strange-numbers-found-in-particle-collisions-20161115>
- [8] Christian Bogner and Francis Brown, "Feynman integrals and iterated integrals on moduli spaces of curves of genus zero", <https://arxiv.org/abs/1408.1862>
- [9] Oliver Schnetz, "Quantum Periods: a census of
- [10] Erik Panzer, Feynman integrals and hyperlogarithms, Ph. D. Thesis, <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1506.07243.pdf>
- [11] L. M. Ionescu, "Using the Local to Global Principal in the study of Periods, Feynman integrals and Jacobi sums", Nov. 2016, IHES GP: <http://my.ilstu.edu/~lmiones/ISUP/ISU-GP.html>
- [12] L. M. Ionescu, R. Sumitro, "Periods and Applications", <https://arxiv.org/abs/1708.09277>
- [13] L. M. Ionescu, "Periods: Variation sur un theme de Kontsevich", IHES presentation, feb. 2018, slides: <http://my.ilstu.edu/~lmiones/>
- [14] L. M. Ionescu, "Periods: from global to local", <https://arxiv.org/abs/1806.08726>
- [15] L. M. Ionescu, "Periods, Feynman Integrals and Jacobi Sums", ver. 1, 2018 (after ISU talk 2017), 2018, <http://my.ilstu.edu/~lmiones/>
- [16] Joseph Ajoub, "Periods and the conjectures of Grothendieck and Kontsevich-Zagier", <http://user.math.uzh.ch/ayoub/PDF-Files/periods-GKZ.pdf>
- [17] G. V. Belyi, "On Galois extensions of a maximal cyclotomic field", Math. USSR Izvestija, Vol. 14 (1980), No.2.
- [18] A. Grothendieck, "Sketch of a programme", <http://www.landsburg.com/grothendieck/EsquisseEng.pdf>
- [19] , Leila Schneps, "Grothendieck's Long March through Galois Theory", <https://webusers.imj-prg.fr/~leila.schneps/SchnepsLM.pdf>
- [20] G. Jones and D. Singerman, "Belyi functions, hypermaps and Galois groups", Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society 28(6):561-590, 1996; www.researchgate.net/publication/250730420_Belyi_Functions_Hypermaps_and_Galois_Groups

- [21] Sergei K. Lando and Alexander K. Zvonkin, “Graphs on Surfaces and Their Applications” (Appendix by Don B. Zagier), Springer, 2004.
- [22] J. Zhao, “Multiple Zeta Functions, multiple polylogarithms and their special values,” Series on Number Theory and Its Applications Vol.12, World Scientific, 2016.
- [23] Juan Viu-Sos, “Periods and line arrangements: contributions to the Kontsevich-Zagier periods conjecture and to the Terao conjecture”, Ph. D. Thesis, 2015, <https://www.theses.fr/2015PAUU3022.pdf>
- [24] Juan Viu-Sos, “Semi-canonical reduction for periods of Kontsevich-Zagier”, *math.NT/1509.01097*, 2016.
- [25] Yuri I. Manin, “Numbers as Functions”, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1312.5160>
- [26] P. J. Hilton, General Cohomology Theory and K-Theory, London mathematical Society, LNS 1, 2013.
- [27] B. Eynard, Lectures on Compact Riemann Surfaces, IPhT-T18/007, 2018.
- [28] Ma Luo, Algebraic De Rham Theory for Completions of Fundamental Groups of Moduli Spaces of Elliptic Curves”, Ph. D. Thesis, 2018, dukespace.lib.duke.edu/dspace/bitstream/handle/10161/16809/Luo_duke_0066D_14412.pdf?sequence=1
- [29] B. Friedrich, Periods and algebraic de Rham cohomology, Thesis, 1979.
- [30] Hossein Movasati, Quasi-modular forms attached to elliptic curves, I, Vol. 19, No.2 (2012), p.307-377, cedram CNRS, Annales Mathematicques Blaise Pascal, 2012.
- [31] Wikipedia, “Hurwitz’s Automorphism Theorem”, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hurwitz%27s_automorphisms_theorem
- [32] MSRI, “The Eightfold Way: The Beauty of Klein’s Quartic Curve”, Silvio Levy, editor, MSRI Publications, Cambridge University Press, 1999.
- [33] Hermann Karcher and Matthias Weber, “The Geometry of Klein’s Riemann Surface”, p.9-49, *The Eightfold Way*, MSRI Pub. Vol. 35, 1998.
- [34] Wikipedia, Petrie polygon, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Petrie_polygon
- [35] Alistair Savage, “Finite dimensional algebras and quivers”, <https://arxiv.org/pdf/math/0505082.pdf>
- [36] R. Britto, F. Cachazo and B. Feng, “New Recursion Relations for Tree Amplitudes of Gluons”, *Nucl. Phys. B* 715, 499 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0412308]; “Direct Proof of Tree-Level Recursion Relation in Yang-Mills Theory”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 94, 181602 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0501052].
- [37] V. I. Arnold, “Mysterious mathematical trinitities”, p.1-12, *Surveys in Modern Mathematics*, V. Prasolov and Y. Ilyashenko, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series. 321; see also Lieven le Bruyn, “Arnold trinitities” <http://www.neverendingbooks.org/arnolds-trinitities>
- [38] le Bruyn Lieven, Galois’ last letter, <http://www.neverendingbooks.org/galois-last-letter>
- [39] Roe Goodman, “Alice through Looking Glass after Looking Glass: The Mathematics of Mirrors and Kaleidoscopes”, *Mathematical Association of America, Monthly* 111, April 2004.
- [40] Yang-Hui He and Jun S. Song, “Of McKay Correspondence, Non-linear Sigma-model and Conformal Field Theory”, <https://arxiv.org/pdf/hep-th/9903056.pdf>
- [41] A. G. Lisi, “An exceptionally simple TOE”, <https://arxiv.org/abs/0711.0770>
- [42] G. Polya, “How to solve it!”, Princeton University Press, 2014.
- [43] F. Klein, “A comparative review of Recent Researchers in Geometry”, *Bulletin of the New York Mathematical Society*, (1983), 215-249.; alternatively, see R. S. Millman, “Kleinian transformation geometry”, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, Vol.84, No.5 (May 1977), pp.338-349, p.339.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ILLINOIS STATE UNIVERSITY, IL 61790-4520
E-mail address: lmiones@ilstu.edu