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#### Abstract

The new theory systematically explains the origin of the main features of the solar system.Especially the new doctrine.The exact formula of interplanetary distance is given. It also gives the distance formula for the satellite,For centuries, This is the first one.

This study pointed out that the nine planets in the solar system orbit accuracy conforms to a formula, which we have reason to speculate that there are many planetary systems in accordance with the law in the universe, the earth's position are universal, an alien civilization is widespread.
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I have paid attention to the origins and evolutions of the Solar System for more than ten years. In this period, I collected a great number of evolution materials and analyzed about forty kinds of doctrines that came from domestic and overseas.
eventually to reveal the internal relationships of many characters of the Solar system .
Double-nebula doctrine
The new doctrine is presented new viewpoints for the origins of planets' substances. It is considered that the Solar System was formed by two small nebulas which came. These two nebulas had rotation and revolution .

They were contracted under their self-gravitations. The central part of one of the nebulas became Sun, the outer part became a flat nebular-plate,
The central part of another nebula (planetary nebulas) became the first planet nucleus, as shown in Figure 1.1-1

1.1-1

1.1-2

Important conclusions of double-nebula , I have found the accurate formula the distance between planets and the Sun under . I also present the reason of my formula with . The followings are the process for getting the formula by using the origins of the Solar System.
as shown in Figure 1.1-3. The radius of the Sun is $R_{1}$; Radius of the planetary nebula is $r_{n}$; The nebula become the first star nucleus by contracting centrally, and the distance between the first star nucleus and the centre of the Sun is $R_{n}$.


From graph 1.1-2 and 1.1-3,
It is easy to see that the distance from the new planet nucleus to the border of sun is half way of the distance between the first planet to the sun. Supposing that the distance from the first planet nucleus to the border of the Sun is $r_{\mathrm{n}}$,

$$
r_{\mathrm{n}} / 2=r_{\mathrm{n}-1}
$$

Because the distance between the first planet and sun is $R_{\mathrm{n}}$, it has obviously

$$
\begin{gathered}
R_{\mathrm{n}}-R_{1}=r_{\mathrm{n}} \\
R_{\mathrm{n}-1}=r_{\mathrm{n}-1}+R_{1}
\end{gathered}
$$

$R_{\mathrm{n}}-R_{1}=r_{\mathrm{n}}$ Substitution $r_{\mathrm{n}} / 2=r_{\mathrm{n}-1}$
obtain

$$
\left(R_{\mathrm{n}}-R_{1}\right) / 2=r_{\mathrm{n}-1}
$$

$R_{1}$ is the radius of the sun, adding $R_{1}$ on the two side of (1.1-1)

$$
\left(R_{\mathrm{n}}-R_{1}\right) / 2+R_{1}=r_{\mathrm{n}-1}+R_{1}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\left(R_{\mathrm{n}}-R_{1}\right) / 2+R_{1}=R_{\mathrm{n}-1}
$$

Multiplying 2 for two sides, and it has

$$
R_{\mathrm{n}}+R_{1}=2 R_{\mathrm{n}-1}
$$

In fact, to give the formula, We have to assume that,Planets are formed from the outside to the inside.Moreover, the formula is the necessary conclusion that planets formed from their outer to inner orderly. In addition, it can be seen that the Sun was very huge long time before; the edge of the Sun was near the orbit of Mercury, but the sun became small afterward.

Using the formula above, we can get the distance between the planets and the Sun. Then we can compare the value calculated with the value observed.
There are two measures to get the orbit of each planet using the formula above: One is to get the theoretical value by using observed values of each outer planet and Mercury. Another measure is
to get the theoretical value of each planet by using the observed values of Pluto and Mercury directly. Results are like those below respectively:

|  | Observed <br> Values | Calculated <br> Values |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mercury | 0.387 | 0.387 | $4.3 \%$ |
| Venus | 0.723 | 0.6935 | $4.9 \%$ |
| Earth | 1.00 | 0.9535 | $3.7 \%$ |
| Mars | 1.52 | 2.8135 | $1.5 \%$ |
| Minot planet | 2.77 | 4.9635 | $5.6 \%$ |
| Jupitor | 5.24 | 9.7385 | $2.0 \%$ |
| satum | 19.09 | 19.9235 | $4.2 \%$ |
| Uranus | 39.46 | 39.46 |  |
| pluto |  |  |  |


|  | Observed <br> Values | Calculated <br> Values |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mercury | 0.387 | 0.387 | $4.1 \%$ |
| Venus | 0.723 | 0.6922 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Earth | 1.00 | 0.9975 | $5.5 \%$ |
| Mars | 1.52 | 2.829 | $2.1 \%$ |
| Minor planet | 2.77 | 5.271 | $0.58 \%$ |
| Jupitor | 5.24 | 10.15 | $6.0 \%$ |
| Saturn | 19.09 | 19.9235 | $4.18 \%$ |
| Uranus | 39.46 | 39.46 |  |
| pluto |  |  |  |

Neptune was formed by the contraction of the nebulas between Pluto and Uranus, therefore:

$$
R_{\mathrm{n}-1}=\left(R_{\mathrm{n}-2}+R_{\mathrm{n}}\right) / 2
$$

There are two ways to get the orbit of Neptune. Firstly, using the observed values of Pluto and

Uranus directly calculate the orbit of Neptune. Secondly, first use the formula to find the theoretical value of Uranus., and then using the theoretical values and the observed values of Pluto to get the orbit of the Neptune.
Followings are the calculated value and observed valued of two means:

|  | Observed <br> Values | Calculated <br> Values |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Uranus | 19.09 | 19.09 | $2.7 \%$ |
| Neptune | 30.07 | 29.275 |  |
| pluto | 39.46 | 39.46 |  |


|  | Observed <br> Values | Calculated <br> Values |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Uranus | 19.09 | 19.09 | $1.27 \%$ |
| Neptune | 30.07 | 29.69175 |  |
| Pluto | 39.46 | 39.46 |  |

We can coordinate the data in above tables. We use first approach the calculated value under for Saturn, Minor planets and Mars.The second means can be used on other planets. Please see the table below first

|  | Distance <br> (observed values) | Distance <br> (calculated values) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mercury | 0.387 | 0.387 | $4.1 \%$ |
| Venus | 0.723 | 0.6922 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Earth | 1.00 | 0.9975 | $3.7 \%$ |
| Mars | 1.52 | 1.5785 | $1.5 \%$ |
| Minor planet | 2.77 | 2.8135 | $0.58 \%$ |
| Jupitor | 5.24 | 5.271 | $2.0 \%$ |
| Satum | 19.54 | 19.9235 | $4.18 \%$ |
| Uranus | 30.07 | 29.69175 | $1.27 \%$ |
| Neptune | 39.46 | 39.46 |  |
| Pluto |  |  |  |

Deviation of the calculated value and planetary ' distance values is less than $5 \%$.Those values are reasonable because the differences of planets' masses are big.Two types' theoretical values of Venus are quite different with its observed values. The differences are up to $4 \%$. That because the orbit of Venus had huge a change that came from the serious impact before. The rotation cycle and direction of Venus are very different with other planets' . This is another evidence for the impact of Venus.

1.1-4

## Regular Moons

The formation of the regular-moon system was a small-scale replay of planetary system.
If our view points are correct, The calculated values should be consistent with the observed values. The reality is as follows

Regular moons of Jupiter

|  | Distance <br> (Observed <br> Values) | Distance <br> (Calculated <br> Values) |  | Diametre <br> (Kilometre) | Consistancy |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jupiter moon No.5 | 180 | 180 |  | 170 |  |
| Jupiter moon No.1 | 432 | 425 | $1.6 \%$ | 3630 | 3.55 |
| Jupiter moon No.2 | 671 | 625 | $6.86 \%$ | 3140 | 3.04 |
| Jupiter moon No.3 | 1070 | 1032 | $3.55 \%$ | 5260 | 1.93 |
| Jupiter moon No.4 | 1885 | 1885 |  | 4800 | 1.83 |

Regular moons of Saturn

|  | Distance <br> (Observed <br> Values) | Distance <br> (Calculated <br> Values) |  | Diametre <br> (Kilometre) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Satum moon No.1 | 187 | 187 |  | 390 |
| Satum moon No.2 | 238 | 241 | $1.26 \%$ | 500 |
| Satum moon No.3 | 295 | 282 | $4.4 \%$ | 1050 |
| Satum moon No.4 | 378 | 375 | $0.79 \%$ | 1120 |
| Satum moon No.5 | 562 | 562 |  | 1530 |
| Satum moon No.x | $?$ | 937 |  |  |
| Satum moon No.6 | 1221 | 1209 | $0.98 \%$ | 4800 |
| Satum moon No. 7 | 1481 | 1687 |  | 300 |

Regular moons of Uranus

|  | Distance <br> (Observed <br> Values) | Distance <br> (Calculated <br> Values) |  | Diametre <br> (Kilometre) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Uranus moon No.5 | 130 | 130 |  |  |
| Uranus moon No.1 | 192 | 198 | $3.3 \%$ | 1330 |
| Uranus moon No.2 | 267 | 284 | $5.9 \%$ | 1110 |
| Uranus moon No.3 | 438 | 438 |  | 1600 |
| Uranus moon No.4 | 587 | 592 | $0.8 \%$ | 1630 |
| Uranus moon No.x | $?$ | 746 |  |  |

## CONCLUSIONS

This study pointed out that the nine planets in the solar system orbit accuracy conforms to a formula, which we have reason to speculate that there are many planetary systems in accordance with the law in the universe, the earth's position are universal, an alien civilization is widespread.

