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#### Abstract

The idea of hyperimaginary numbers is suggested as the first step toward the mathematical basis of res potentia (Plato), presented in the right-hand side of the evolution equation $|\mathrm{w}|^{2}=|\mathrm{m}|^{2}+\left|\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}}\right|^{2}$. The real and imaginary (tachyonic) components in the right-hand side are always balanced, leading to $|w|^{2}=0$ the physicalized world is made of positive mass-energy only, $|\mathrm{m}|^{2}$, which is always balanced (not "conserved") by equal amount of $\left|m_{i}\right|^{2}$, once-at-a-time, ever since The Beginning (John 1:1). God is interpreted as mathematical (hyperimaginary) object residing "inside" the 4D instant here-and-now (Luke 17:21), and conceptual solutions to fundamental problems in point-set topology, set theory, and number theory are briefly described.

The full manuscript will be available only on Christmas 2016, upon request. D. Chakalov [dchakalov@gmail.com](mailto:dchakalov@gmail.com)


## 1. Introduction ${ }^{1}$

Let me offer a simple experiment to verify the connection of your brain to the Platonic world ${ }^{1}$ dubbed res potentia. If compared to the physical time read by your wristwatch, res potentia would look atemporal, in the sense that "its proper time would stand still" ${ }^{2}$.

[^0]Now the experiment. Consider the meanings explicated with these four sayings:

1. You can't hide a piece of broccoli in a glass of milk.
2. Who has no horse may ride on a staff.
3. Don't wear polka dot underwear under white shorts.
4. Faute de mieux, on couche avec sa femme.

If you can understand the meanings of these sayings, which of them presented similar meanings? My answer is $1 \& 3$ and $2 \& 4$.

Surely every word can be "encoded" in your brain, but not its meaning. The latter cannot be "encoded" in your brain, and therefore cannot be "computed" from the neural presentations of the symbols used in the sayings above (more in HBP.pdf). These meanings (not words) are invariant in all human brains, despite the neural differences between all brains. They do not decay and are not governed by the laws of thermodynamics. They do not evolve in the physical time ${ }^{3}$ read by your clock either. They spring from some kind of 'cognitive vacuum', which belongs to the hyperimaginary res potentia. Yes, res potentia can act on your brain, but - no, it is not mind or consciousness or anything we label with res cogitans: check out the doctrine of trialism on p. 64 in gravity.pdf and notice that res potentia (also known as $\mathrm{Zen}^{26}$ ) belongs to the quantum vacuum as well.

Hence we postulate a dual cognitive-and-quantum vacuum as the area of spacetime engineering (tweaking the least action; see Sec. 4), and suggest that the mathematical description of this dual vacuum should involve so-called hyperimaginary numbers collapsed to "points" from the number line.

Before we explain the evolution equation ${ }^{6}$ based on hyperimaginary numbers (see Sec. 3), let me set the record straight: we do not talk here about psychology and religion, but about a new form of Platonic reality ${ }^{1}$ called res potentia (check out again p. 64 in gravity.pdf), thanks to which the physicalized universe can be modeled as the "brain" of the Universe. Not the "mind" of the Universe, as suggested in 1927 by Sir Arthur Eddington with his famous statements "the stuff of the world is mind-stuff." The distinction between the "brain" and the "mind" (if any) of the Universe is crucial. Let me explain it by referring to the speech by Max Planck at Florence, Italy, in 1944:

There is no matter as such! All matter originates and exists only by virtue of a force which brings the particles of an atom to vibration and holds this most minute solar system of the atom together. We must assume behind this force the existence of a conscious and intelligent Geist (bewußten intelligenten Geist). This Geist is the matrix of all matter.

We can talk about 'the matrix of all matter' if and only if that such matrix is understood as an enclosure within which the quantum-gravitational world originates as re-created physicalized world - once-at-a-time, as read with a clock. This matrix is the "brain" of the Universe. It is not "mind" or anything labeled with res cogitans, but Platonic res potentia explicated from what shows up in the inanimate physical world as "vacuum" and "aether".

Let me explain res potentia with a simple example of the matrix for a photon (later I will explain the matrix for a proton ${ }^{4}$ ), stressing that the matrix itself defies any probabilistic (Sic!) description. It does not decay nor evolve in the physical time ${ }^{3,19}$ read by your clock.

Imagine that you enter your living room at night and switch on the light. If it is a light bulb, it will emit photons with rate app. $1.8 \times 10^{20}$ photons per second. All photons are identical ${ }^{5}$ and have particular wavelength corresponding to the "distance" (if any) between the two "orbits" (if any) of electrons (see h in Fig. 1 below).

How come nothing goes wrong with producing $1.8 \times 10^{20}$ identical ${ }^{5}$ photons per second, ever? Because of the matrix for a photon ${ }^{17}$. It exists with certainty. It is res potentia.

Emission of Light


Fig. 1
As John A. Wheeler ${ }^{5}$ acknowledged, the identity of particles of the same type is "a central mystery of physics." The identity of 'international second' (Sec. 4) is not less mysterious ${ }^{28}$ : no physical stuff could possibly integrate ${ }^{19}$ exactly $9,192,631,770$ - no more and no less identical ${ }^{5}$ temporal "pixels" (Fig. 6.2) to produce 'one second'. The same applies to 1 m .

Recall also another mystery of physics, which is also resolved with the atemporal matrix for quantum particles: the most widely known, ever since 1911, public secret in physics, shown at this http URL. It is about the absence of 'quantum time' in today's textbooks ${ }^{32}$. Erwin Schrödinger has explained the puzzle in 1935.

Now let me elaborate on the matrix for a proton ${ }^{4}$. I will reexamine it later, to explain the evolution equation ${ }^{6}$ based on hyperimaginary numbers, $|w|^{2}=|m|^{2}+\left|m_{i}\right|^{2}-$ the squared real mass $|\mathrm{m}|^{2}$ refers to the identity ${ }^{5}$ of protons ${ }^{4}$ and to the ubiquitous massenergy non-conservation acknowledged by Paul Steinhardt ${ }^{7}$ and by many others ${ }^{16}$.

The squared imaginary mass ${ }^{2}\left|m_{i}\right|^{2}$ becomes "negative", and will produce uncancelled forces ${ }^{8,9}$ and unlimited acceleration, in violation of Newton's third law and without limit: $\left|m_{i}\right|^{2}$ will be attracted by the positive mass $|m|^{2}$, while the latter will be repelled by it ${ }^{10}$ (self-accelerating motion, Wikipedia). The negotiation between $\left|m_{i}\right|^{2}$ and $|m|^{2}$ is not physical but atemporal phenomenon residing along W, "before" light. We can observe only the physicalized end result from the negotiation: it is not squared and is made of positive mass-energy only (cf. ref. [35] in The Spacetime ${ }^{1}$ ), as in Einstein's equation $\mathbf{E}=\mathrm{mc}^{2}$.

Let's go back to the matrix for a proton. As Alex Dolgov ${ }^{4}$ demonstrated, the error margin for producing proton's mass is "one part to $10^{45}$ ". Such astonishing precision cannot be accomplished without proton's matrix as res potentia. In fact, the physical world is perfectly tuned for biological structures, which physicists call 'anthropic principle'.

In general, the living and quantum-gravitational worlds offer the necessary condition for their existence, while the matrix of res potentia is the sufficient condition. It's a bundle ${ }^{18}$.

Physically, the matrix - the "memory" of the physical world - will induce wave-like holomovement ${ }^{11,27}$ without any physical source of such waves, and all biological systems (e.g., the human brain) and quantum-gravitational systems will exhibit self-action ${ }^{10}$, because the delocalized res potentia cannot be physically detected. If the matrix were physical object endowed with metric, it would have to be some sort of "background" of spacetime ${ }^{20}$ (you cannot paint a painting without any canvas), which could miraculously act on the spacetime but without being acted upon, contrary to Newton's third law. Such issue never arises here, because the matrix is Platonic res potentia. It certainly acts on the quantum-gravitational "brain" of the Universe, but since the matrix is not physical entity, the back action by the "brain" only enriches its "memory".

To sum up, the res potentia of the physicalized universe ('the matrix of all matter', Max Planck) acts as 'finite infinity' (FI: check out p. 67 in gravity.pdf) and leads to dual age cosmology ${ }^{1}$. The evolution equation of the entire Universe is reduced at The Beginning (John $1: 1$ ) and at The End to meaningless identity ' $\mathbf{0}=\mathbf{0}$ ': once created, the Universe is already eternal (physical theology ${ }^{1}$ ). Namely, at every 4D instant 'here and now', the Universe passes through God at absolute infinity (Luke 17:21). Mathematically, res potentia fixes Dirichlet boundary conditions and Cauchy conditions for the re-created and nonunitary (Sic!) evolution of the Universe, starting from the most simple physicalized state, much like our first prenatal stage (Zygote): Time is God's way to keep everything from happening all at once. Hence we have genuine free will, but the potential future is 'open' to brand new events, including the 'unknown unknown'. We never know with the future.

## 2. About points, if any

The definition of 'point' (if any) resembles those of 'vacuum' or 'empty set': it only tells you what the so-called 'point' is not; for example, "that which has no part" (Euclid). It is some kind of dimensionless entity devoid of any metric, which is why the 'point' is by no means 'the smallest region of spacetime', as people may be inclined to think. It defies the application of bipolar notions such as 'large vs. small' and 'one vs. many'. It would be like a bare label (the grin of the Cheshire cat without the cat ${ }^{23}$, Fig. 8.1), provided that we cannot ask the question 'label of what?', which makes its definition terribly intricate.

Also, the so-called 'point' is not related exclusively to physics (check out again the doctrine of trialism on p. 64 in gravity.pdf), as you demonstrated, with your good old brain, by referring to the meanings of the four sayings in Sec. 1 above: we all operate with some sort of 'cognitive vacuum', which is UNspeakable and cannot be even comprehended. Yet the set of 'everything that can be comprehended' can be defined only relationally, only with respect to the complementary set of 'everything that cannot be comprehended'.

How many elements build up such non-trivial "empty set"? Wrong question. It is the Kantian Noumenon or 'Das Ding an sich', an absolute "vacuum" that can be never looked at, as in Plato's allegory of the cave. Thanks to the "speed" of light ${ }^{17}$, we cannot "turn around" and "look" at the cognitive-and-physical vacuum - the 'light source' in Fig. 5 in The Spacetime ${ }^{1}$ - explicating res potentia as the matrix of our world. It is before light.

To explain how we end up with such heavy metaphysics, I will use a Gedankenexperiment. Suppose you are on a ship cruising in the Pacific Ocean, and you can only see an endless blue ocean around you. Now you decide to look at the ocean through a funnel, which is like a pipe that is wide at the top and narrow at the bottom, say, 1 cm . You will again see a blue spot from the endless ocean, and may also notice that the blue spot with size 1 cm
is changing in time. But suppose the opening of the funnel has been shrunk from 1 cm to the "size" of the infinitesimal displacement in space, ds (Fig. 2), matching "that which has no part" (Euclid). What "part" from the endless blue ocean will you see? An infinitesimal, mathematicians ${ }^{20}$ would probably say. Physicists will perhaps argue that you have hit the so-called Planck scale ${ }^{12}$ and should not be able to see any "color" (metric).

Whatever the case is, don't mix the funnel with the endless ocean around you: they are ontologically different entities, as Plato argued twenty-five centuries ago (see above).


Fig. 2
The funnel stands for the physicalized universe - you can also look at the opposite, perpetually inflating physical world, and wonder what could possibly insert any limit on its size ${ }^{13}$. The limit is the same endless blue ocean, which is res potentia wrapping the entire physicalized universe (check out 'finite infinity' and dual age cosmology above).

The next step toward the hyperimaginary numbers is to place the physicalized universe in the irreversible past and res potentia in the potential future: see Fig. 7 in The Spacetime ${ }^{1}$. Hence they will be both separated by the interface 'here and now', in order to preserve their ontologically different nature, and connected by the flow of events (ibid., Fig. 22), known as the Heraclitus river: you could not step twice into the same river.

In the next section, I will introduce a new hyperimaginary axis, denoted with W (from the German wunderbar, as a tribute to Theodor Kaluza). In the physicalized world of the "funnel" (Fig. 2), the hyperimaginary numbers are always collapsed to "points" from the number line. Perhaps this is the reason why mathematicians have not yet noticed them. To explain the temporal structure and topology of what we casually call 'spacetime point', I will use the limit of a sequence and Fig. 2, and then explain the hyperimaginary axis W.

Later in Sec. 4, I will elaborate on the hypothetical mechanism of generating mass-energy, implied in the evolution equation, stressing that the phenomenon we call 'gravity' is by no means restricted to the fact that apples can fall from trees and hit someone's head, as Newton has observed. Gravity ${ }^{7}$ couples to the entire "pool" of physicalizable (Sic!) positive/negative energy ${ }^{4}$ - not just to positive energy difference - and hence gravity "knows" the indefinable vacuum energy even "before" it becomes physicalized as positive mass-energy only ${ }^{17}$ (Fig. 4), to re-create the physical world, with astonishing precision. This is the future of physics and life sciences, leading to spacetime engineering (Sec. 4).

## 3. Hyperimaginary numbers

If the alleged 'point' denoted with ds in Fig. 2 was physical stuff endowed with metric viz. Archimedean topology ${ }^{31}$ (Fig. 6 and pp. 11-12 in The Spacetime ${ }^{1}$ ), we will inevitably hit the Thomson's lamp paradox. It is agonizingly clear that we must not be able to hit the 'atom of geometry' from the physical "funnel" (Fig. 2). If we could, at least in principle, hit the spacetime 'point' in any physical way, we will need many "miracles" to recover from it.

Physically, we will need some Biblical "miracle" to raise a robust Lorentzian metric within $10^{-30}$ seconds "after" the "big bang", starting much earlier at $10^{-35}$ seconds "after" the "big bang", when the spacetime was just about 1 cm across (Fig. 2) and a causally connected region would have been only $10^{-24} \mathrm{~cm}$ across (the horizon problem), in such way that one could later "inflate" the spacetime by a factor of $10^{78}$ and then safely keep the Lorentzian metric for at least $13.798 \pm 0.037$ billion years rooted on the Planck scale ${ }^{12}$ at which the spacetime "points" have become totally fuzzy and locality ${ }^{17}$ has lost any meaning ${ }^{14}$.

Briefly, if we wish to explain the fact that time and space exist, we must introduce the matrix of spacetime, like we did for the matrix of photons (Fig. 1). Notice that every 'matrix' is Platonic res potentia, which do not have size or any physical attributes, much like the idea of a 'tree' is not smaller than the idea of a 'mountain'. It is not clear whether we can make a 'set' of different instances of 'matrix', because the cardinality of such 'set' will have to be indefinable: the future is 'open' to brand new events, as stated above.

For mathematical point of view, we must make sure that the Platonic res potentia has unique presentation based on hyperimaginary numbers, as it always resides in the potential future and only casts a physicalized "jacket" on the number line - one-jacket-at-a-time. Since the real numbers refer to the physicalized world placed in the irreversible past (see above), they must be totally de-coupled from the hyperimaginary numbers.

The hyperimaginary numbers, needed to define the brand new "phase space" of Platonic res potentia, should be presented with 4D sphere $\Leftrightarrow$ saddle transitions (Fig. 3.1) passing through God at every infinitesimal instant ds \& dt (Fig. 3.2).


Fig. 3.1
Fig. 3.2

At the end of Sec. 4, I will try to explain the problems with delocalization ${ }^{11}$ of gravity and spacetime engineering (often camouflaged as "magic" for pure entrainment ${ }^{29}$ ). The first obvious obstacle is to relate Fig. 3.2 above to the two "mirror" words, material and tachyonic ${ }^{2}$, and to the three types of masses shown in Fig. 4 from Yakov Terletskii ${ }^{15}$.

According to (24.1), the proper mass is a real quantity if the vector $P_{k}$ is time-like (i.e., $M^{2}>0$ ) and an imaginary quantity if this vector is space-like (i.e., $\mathrm{M}^{2}<0$ ). The case of zero proper mass ( $M=0$ ) can be considered as a special case of real proper mass.

Thus, if the components of the vector $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k}}$ are taken to be arbitrary real numbers, then formula (24.1) admits of three essentially different physical systems:

1. systems with positive proper mass, i.e., $\mathrm{M}^{2} \geq 0, \mathrm{E}>0$;
2. systems with negative proper mass, i.e., $\mathrm{M}^{2} \geq 0, \mathrm{E}<0$;
3. systems with an imaginary proper mass, i.e., $\mathrm{M}^{2}<0$.


Fig. 4
Briefly on the geometric presentation of hyperimaginary numbers: the horizontal line in Fig. 3.2 above marks the sphere $\Leftrightarrow$ saddle transitions (Fig. 3.1) at the instant at which the hyperimaginary sphere and torus are inflated exactly to infinity. The physical spacetime, endowed with positive mass-energy only, is tending asymptotically toward the horizontal line in Fig. 3.2, from both directions along W, from "south" (hyperimaginary sphere) and from "north" (hyperimaginary torus). Hence the physical, asymptotically flat spacetime is the arena at which the hyperimaginary sphere and torus "clash" into each other, like two
opposite waves, leading to their complete cancellation in the physical world ( $|\mathrm{w}|^{2}=0$ ), producing only one physicalized remnant from them - one re-created remnant at a time.

As stated above, I will show the atom of geometry, called 'point' and denoted with ds in Fig. 2. It can be explained with the limit of (bounded and monotonic) sequence, depicted in Fig. 5 (borrowed from Wikipedia).


Fig. 5

This sequence has a limit at "infinity", such that the side of the inscribed polygon, denoted with $\mathbf{a}$ in Fig. 6.1, becomes identical to the side of the circumvented polygon $\mathbf{b}$ (Fig. 6.1) and to their distance ds (Fig. 2). Notice that ds does not have metric any more there is no underlying spacetime to define such metric - and therefore we cannot attribute any number to it. If ds was 'the smallest pixel of spacetime' (Fig. 6.2), say, the Planck length ( $10^{-35} \mathrm{~m}$ ), we could reproduce any finite region of spacetime (e.g., 1 m by $10^{-35} \times 10^{35}=1$ ). However, at ds the Archimedean topology ${ }^{31}$ is not valid any more.


Fig. 6.1


Fig. 6.2

Due to the absence of metric, there is no difference between the infinitesimal ds (Fig. 6.1 and Fig. 2) and the infinitesimal $\mathrm{dt}^{19,28}$. They build up the 'atom of geometry' and are indissolubly connected to a completely different world: the Platonic res potentia. The atom of geometry ds $\& \mathrm{dt}^{19}$ only has a "footprint" on the number line, and the integration of such footprints ${ }^{28}$ is defined by actual infinity ${ }^{21}$ (p. 67 in gravity.pdf), thanks to which we
have physicalized world cast in the irreversible past. Again, thanks to actual infinity ${ }^{21}$ the infinitesimal ds $\& \mathrm{dt}^{19}$ builds up the physicalized world located in the past, while its hyperimaginary counterpart lives in the potential future as res potentia: it's a bundle.
Mathematically, res potentia would look like "zero", denoted with $\mathrm{R}_{\infty}=\varnothing$ in the drawing from George Lakoff and Rafael Núñez ${ }^{22}$ below (emphasis mine). Here the "empty set" $\varnothing$ means that it contains are no real elements - only hyperimaginary res potentia.


## Fig. 7

The "empty set" $\varnothing$ is depicted also in Fig. 8.1 from 'the grin of the Cheshire cat without the cat', as observed by Alice ${ }^{23}$. Again, the cat (Fig. 8.2) and its "empty" hyperimaginary grin (Fig. 8.1) are inseparable bundle rooted on The Noumenon.


Fig. 8.1


Fig. 8.2

Taking the risk to be terribly boring, I will reiterate that the Platonic world of res potentia must never be accessible from the physical world. Mathematically, the interval in Fig. 7 must never be a closed one, [1,0]: we must not be able to hit the 'atom of geometry' from the physical world (cf. Sec. 3). On the other hand, the same interval in Fig. 7 must never be an open one, $(1,0)$, because one cannot obtain 'limit' viz. finite physical world only with potential infinity: we need actual infinity ${ }^{21}$ as well. Again, it's a bundle (cf. p. 67 in gravity.pdf).

Can we have our cake and eat it? Yes we can, by placing the finite physical world in the past, and the infinite/indefinable res potentia in the potential future: see above.

To sum up, the Platonic res potentia is unphysical and pre-geometric matrix, which does not emit nor reflect light ${ }^{24}$, being always "before the light". It endows the entire physical world with the faculty of self-action ${ }^{10}$, resulting in fundamental flow of re-created events, called Arrow of Space: what we call 'time' is the joint result from 'change in space' and 'change of space'. It's a bundle, again. Hence the Arrow of Space (AoS) evolves along the hyperimaginary axis W and endows the entire world with self-acting activity. The only way to approach it is by following the Law of Reversed Effort and letting res potentia to unfold toward you. In this sense, spacetime engineering is an effortless activity (Sec. 4).

If the Arrow of Space were produced by any physical phenomenon, the latter will be, at least in theory, physically observable, which will pinpoint some absolute reference frame of the Arrow of Space at absolute rest, and the theory of relativity will be demolished.

Nevertheless, many people insist that res potentia must be some kind of physical stuff ${ }^{24}$. No, it cannot be any physical entity. It is like a transcendent (or transient) tachyon ${ }^{25}$, which is omnipresent, in the sense that it trespasses the entire physical world for "zero" time, as read with a physical clock: the transcendent tachyon will have "infinite" speed and will be simultaneously and instantaneously "located" everywhere (Luke 17:21) and at 'absolute infinity' shown with the horizontal line in Fig. 3.2.

## 4. Spacetime engineering

Spacetime engineering is about the design and construction of specific matrix, such that it can organize any physical system in desired way. Nature has shown it with the proton ${ }^{4}$ and many smart "monkeys" at CERN have learned to produce various "bananas". As of today, spacetime engineering is also guided mainly by empirical rules, and we utterly need a precise mathematical theory of spacetime, based on hyperimaginary numbers. This new approach to modeling the spacetime is highly non-trivial, and still unresolved, challenge (see above). Let me compare it to what is known as fiber bundle (Fig. 9).


Fig. 9

The hyperimaginary fibers (bristles) are supposed to define the brand new "phase space" of Platonic res potentia, depicted in Fig. 3.2 above. In the physicalized world of "mountains and waters" ${ }^{26}$, the hyperimaginary fibers are being "collapsed" to 4D events in the "base" asymptotically flat physical spacetime - once-at-a-time, as read with a physical clock - leading to holomovement of living and quantum-gravitational "fish" 11,27 (details in Sec. 4, p. 9 in The Spacetime ${ }^{1}$ ).

In my opinion, this is the only viable alternative to the infamous $3+1$ "split" of spacetime ${ }^{19}$, and it has been communicated to many experts (cf. p. 68 in gravity.pdf). The point of departure is truly fundamental: we endow the 'atom of geometry', constituting the number line, with the faculties of transience (passing into and out of the physical world, Fig. 3.2) and self-action. In present-day theoretical physics ${ }^{28,32}$ we have a bunch of "yellow buttons", and even though we have learned how to explore quantum mechanics with the so-called projection postulate, we still have no idea what constitutes a "measurement": recall the most widely known, ever since 1911, public secret in physics above.

The situation with spacetime engineering is pretty much the same. Once we unravel the so-called hyperimaginary numbers, we expect to learn much more about our "yellow buttons" and explore them for various purposes, from enhanced natural healing to vacuum engineering, to name but a few. This is the future of quantum gravity and life sciences, based on the "bridge" between the human brain and the Brain of the Universe: 1 John 4:8.

Now let me explain the mathematical presentation of the matrix in spacetime engineering. It is based on the notion of 'set', as described by Georg Cantor (7 November 1895) ${ }^{30}$ : any gathering-together (Zusammenfassung) of determined and well-distinguished objects into a whole (zu einem Ganzen). If we think of a set of apples, the matrix will be the 'bag' itself, along with everything existing between (Fig. 6.2) the denumerable apples, to preserve their identity (Fig. 10).


Fig. 10
Again, there are two objects to define every 'set', and these two objects are ontologically different from the members of any set. They make a set of fish ${ }^{11,27}$ and define 1 s and 1 m . They constitute the matrix in spacetime engineering, known as res potentia or Zen ${ }^{26}$, and the human brain can produce specific quale from it, as stated above. You will be able to "see" all points in 3D space simultaneously by space inversion ${ }^{33}$, including the inner structure of solid objects and things obscured from three-dimensional viewpoint, e.g., all six sides of an opaque box ${ }^{29}$ and everything that is inside the box (Wikipedia). This is not "magic" - any sufficiently advanced technology is indistinguishable from "magic" (Arthur Clarke's third law).
(The full manuscript will be available only on Christmas 2016, upon request: Matthew 7:6.)
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25. Erasmo Recami, Classical Tachyons and Possible Applications, La Rivista del Nuovo Cimento 9(6) 1-178 (1986).
26. David Schiller, The Little Zen Companion, Workman Publishing Company, 1994, p. 2:

Before Zen, mountains are mountains and waters are waters;
during Zen, mountains are no longer mountains and waters are not waters; after Zen, mountains are once again mountains and waters once again waters.
27. D. Chakalov, Holomovement of Fish, 14-12-2015, available at this http URL.
28. T.A. Jacobson, A Spacetime Primer. Online paper, September 2, 2014, available at this http URL. See excerpts from p. 11 and pp. 18-19 below (links added for clarity - D.C.).

In this sense, the tangent space at a point can be thought of as an infinitely magnified copy of the space of infinitesimal displacements from that point. It should be emphasized however that the tangent vectors do not lie "in" the manifold. Rather, they live in the tangent space, which may perhaps be usefully pictured as "hovering over" the corresponding point in the manifold.
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The physical world of "mountains and waters" ${ }^{26}$ conforms to Archimedes' Axiom ${ }^{31}$ and is governed by Archimedean topology, which can be explained as follows: if you have two timbers of different size, say, $A=3 m$ and $B=10 m$, you can always find a finite positive integer $\mathbf{k}, 0<k<\infty$, such that if you multiply the smaller A by $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{l}}$ (l stands for 'large'), you will produce a timber larger than $B$, say, if $k_{1}=4,4 \times 3=12>10$. But you can never produce some "infinitely large" timber and stop there. Ditto to the opposite case of "zero timber": if you multiply the larger B by $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{s}}$ ( s stands for 'small'), $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{s}}=\mathrm{kl}^{-1}$, you can produce a timber smaller than A, say, if you choose $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{s}}=4^{-1}$, the new timber will be $2.5 \mathrm{~m}(1 / 4 \times 10=$ 2.5). But again, you can never produce some "infinitely small" timber and stop there. In this sense, the Archimedean topology is based on potential infinity with which one cannot actually (cf. David Hilbert) reach 'infinity': the physical world cannot include infinitely large nor infinitely small "timbers", and therefore it does not stop but trespasses God (Luke 17:21) at absolute infinity depicted with the horizontal line in Fig. 3.2 above.
32. Jeremy Butterfield, On Time in Quantum Physics, arXiv:1406.4745v1, 18 June 2014; J. Gonzalo Muga et al., The time of arrival concept in quantum mechanics, arXiv:quantph/9801043v1, 21 January 1998.
33. Space inversion (see above) resembles inverting a rubber glove inside-out (Fig. 3.2), as if you invert inside-out a right-hand glove into a left-hand one (parity inversion). The rubber glove is depicted with trapped 2D surface (sphere, Fig. 3.1) in the drawing from Mark Armstrong below. To practice spacetime engineering (Sec. 4), you should produce specific quale from all points on the closed 2D surface (shown with a circle below), and "see" them along all radii, simultaneously in both directions along W (Fig. 3.2), en bloc.


Adapted from Mark A. Armstrong, Basic Topology, Springer, 1997, Fig. 5.7, p. 104

If topology is not your cup of tea, recall the old joke about how to catch a lion in Sahara. If you ask a mathematician, she would probably suggest that, given the existence of at least one lion there, she would drag a cage for lions in the middle of the desert, lock herself up, and then perform space inversion with respect to the cage surface (the circle above), such that all points outside it will be converted inside the cage, and vice versa. At the end of the day, she will find herself outside the cage, while the poor lion will be locked inside, and they both will undergo parity inversion. Needless to say, there are many unsolved issues in Fig. 3.2 - the diameter of the physical "cage" ${ }^{31}$ (volume of 3D space) tends asymptotically toward both ds (Fig. 6.1) and its reciprocal "largest" volume of space ${ }^{13}$.

Detailed information will be available only on Christmas 2016, upon request: Matthew 7:6. Personally, I have very modest needs, just a few more "bananas", and I don't need any advanced spacetime engineering, such as unlimited energy ${ }^{7}$ by vacuum engineering, etc. I'm fine.


## Addendum

To understand the physical, asymptotically flat spacetime (see Fig. 3.2 and M. Armstrong), notice that every circle in the left drawing below contains all (infinitely many ${ }^{31}$ ) circles in the right drawing; the latter are stacked along the axis of length in Powers of Ten ${ }^{13}$.


Details will be available only on Christmas 2016, and only upon request: Matthew 7:6.
In 1932, Ernest Rutherford, the father of nuclear physics, recalled that "anyone who looked for a source of power in the transformation of the atoms was talking moonshine".

There is no sense in offering my "moonshine" to people brainwashed by religion ${ }^{18}$ (see my note on p. 77 in gravity.pdf). Besides, I strictly follow 'the two rules for success':

Rule \#1: Never tell them everything you know.

## D. Chakalov

December 7, 2016, 14:20 GMT


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The latest version of Hyperimaginary Numbers (hi_numbers.pdf) can be downloaded from chakalov.net.

