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#### Abstract

We present two proofs of theorems needed to the major work we are doing on existence and breakdown solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations for incompressible case without external force in $\mathrm{n}=3$ spatial dimensions. Keywords - Navier-Stokes equations, velocity, pressure, Eulerian description, Lagrangian description, formulation, equivalent equations, exact solutions, existence, inexistence.


Let $u^{0}(x, y, z)$ and $p^{0}(x, y, z)$ be respectively the initial velocity and initial pressure of the three-dimensional incompressible ( $\nabla \cdot u=\nabla \cdot u^{0}=0$ ) NavierStokes equations without external force

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial p(X, t)}{\partial x_{i}}+\frac{\partial u_{i}(X, t)}{\partial t}+\sum_{j=1}^{3} u_{j}(X, t) \frac{\partial u_{i}(X, t)}{\partial x_{j}}=v \nabla^{2} u_{i}(X, t) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$1 \leq i \leq 3, X=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}, x_{1} \equiv x, x_{2} \equiv y, x_{3} \equiv z, x_{i}, t \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0$.

Then in $t=0$ is valid, for each integer $i$ belongs to $1 \leq i \leq 3$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial p^{0}(X)}{\partial x_{i}}+\left.\frac{\partial u_{i}(X, t)}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0}+\sum_{j=1}^{3} u_{j}^{0}(X) \frac{\partial u_{i}^{0}(X)}{\partial x_{j}}=v \nabla^{2} u_{i}^{0}(X) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Supposing that $u(x, y, z, t)=u^{0}(x+t, y+t, z+t)$ and $p(x, y, z, t)=$ $p^{0}(x+t, y+t, z+t)$ is a solution ( $u, p$ ) for (1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial p^{0}(\xi)}{\partial x_{i}}+\frac{\partial u_{i}^{0}(\xi)}{\partial t}+\sum_{j=1}^{3} u_{j}^{0}(\xi) \frac{\partial u_{i}^{0}(\xi)}{\partial x_{j}}=v \nabla^{2} u_{i}^{0}(\xi) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi=\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}\right)$ and $\xi_{i}=\xi_{i}(X, t)=x_{i}+t, 1 \leq i \leq 3$.
For $t=0$ the equations (2) and (3) are equals, because in $t=0$ we have $\xi_{i}=x_{i}$ and therefore $\xi=\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}\right)=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=X$.

For $t>0$, if (2) is valid for any $X=(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ then (3) is valid for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \quad$ substituting $\quad x \mapsto \xi_{1}=x+t, y \mapsto \xi_{2}=y+t, z \mapsto \xi_{3}=z+t, x, y, z \in$ $\mathbb{R}, t \geq 0$, so $u(x, y, z, t)=u^{0}(x+t, y+t, z+t)$ and $p(x, y, z, t)=p^{0}(x+t, y+$ $t, z+t)$, i.e., $u(X, t)=u^{0}(\xi)$ and $p(X, t)=p^{0}(\xi)$, solve equation (3) and therefore the Navier-Stokes equation (1).

The initial motivation to prove it is as follows. Let $A(x), B(x), C(x)$ and $D(x)$ functions such that is always valid, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(x)+B(x)+C(x)=D(x) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, as $(x+t) \in \mathbb{R}, x, t \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0$, need be valid too the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(x+t)+B(x+t)+C(x+t)=D(x+t) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The same argument can be used for functions of two and three spatial dimensions (or better, for $n$ spatial dimensions), for example, $\forall x, y, z, t \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{i}(x, y, z)+B_{i}(x, y, z)+C_{i}(x, y, z)=D_{i}(x, y, z)  \tag{6}\\
& \Rightarrow A_{i}(x+t, y+t, z+t)+B_{i}(x+t, y+t, z+t)+ \\
& \quad+C_{i}(x+t, y+t, z+t)=D_{i}(x+t, y+t, z+t)
\end{align*}
$$

Applying the previous relation (6) to the Navier-Stokes equations (2) for $t=0$, if

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{i}(x, y, z)=\left.\frac{\partial u_{i}(X, t)}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0}  \tag{7.2}\\
& C_{i}(x, y, z)=\sum_{j=1}^{3} u_{j}^{0}(X) \frac{\partial u_{i}^{0}(X)}{\partial x_{j}}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{i}(x, y, z)=v \nabla^{2} u_{i}^{0}(X)  \tag{7.4}\\
& A_{i}(x, y, z)+B_{i}(x, y, z)+C_{i}(x, y, z)=D_{i}(x, y, z) \tag{7.5}
\end{align*}
$$

$X=(x, y, z)$, then, using $\xi=\xi(X, t)=(x+t, y+t, z+t)$, need be valid too the equalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{i}(x+t, y+t, z+t)=\frac{\partial p^{0}(\xi)}{\partial x_{i}} \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{i}(x+t, y+t, z+t)=\left(\left.\frac{\partial u_{i}(X, t)}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0}\right)(\xi)  \tag{8.2}\\
& C_{i}(x+t, y+t, z+t)=\sum_{j=1}^{3} u_{j}^{0}(\xi) \frac{\partial u_{i}^{0}(\xi)}{\partial x_{j}}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{i}(x+t, y+t, z+t)=v \nabla^{2} u_{i}^{0}(\xi)  \tag{8.4}\\
& A_{i}(x+t, y+t, z+t)+B_{i}(x+t, y+t, z+t)+  \tag{8.5}\\
& +C_{i}(x+t, y+t, z+t)=D_{i}(x+t, y+t, z+t)
\end{align*}
$$

The expression $\left(\left.\frac{\partial u_{i}(X, t)}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0}\right)(\xi)$ in (8.2) means that first is calculated the value of $\frac{\partial u_{i}(X, t)}{\partial t}$, next we assign the value $t=0$ in this result and then we substitute $x \mapsto \xi_{1}=x+t, y \mapsto \xi_{2}=y+t, z \mapsto \xi_{3}=z+t$, i.e., $X \mapsto \xi$.

Note that the right side of the relations (8.1) to (8.4) corresponds to each parcel of the Navier-Stokes equations (8.5) with the solution ( $u, p$ ) such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(X, t)=u^{0}(\xi)  \tag{9.1}\\
& p(X, t)=p^{0}(\xi) \tag{9.2}
\end{align*}
$$

$X=(x, y, z), \xi=\xi(X, t)=(x+t, y+t, z+t)$, then (9) is a solution for (1) if $u^{0}(X)$ and $p^{0}(X)$ are initial conditions.

We will now prove that if the variables (9.1) and (9.2) solve (1) for $t \geq 0$ then $u^{0}(x, y, z)$ and $p^{0}(x, y, z)$ solve (1) for $t=0$, i.e., then both $u^{0}(x, y, z)$ and $p^{0}(x, y, z)$ solve (2). This is an important result of this section. We'll use the chain rule ${ }^{[1]}$.

Proof: Starting from (1), the three-dimensional incompressible Navier-Stokes equations, where $\nabla \cdot u=\nabla \cdot u^{0}=0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial p(X, t)}{\partial x_{i}}+\frac{\partial u_{i}(X, t)}{\partial t}+\sum_{j=1}^{3} u_{j}(X, t) \frac{\partial u_{i}(X, t)}{\partial x_{j}}=v \nabla^{2} u_{i}(X, t) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$1 \leq i \leq 3, X=(x, y, z)$, if a solution (u,p) for them is (9), i.e.,

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(X, t)=u^{0}(\xi)  \tag{11.1}\\
& p(X, t)=p^{0}(\xi) \tag{11.2}
\end{align*}
$$

$\xi=\xi(X, t)=(x+t, y+t, z+t)$, then we have, according (3),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial p^{0}(\xi)}{\partial x_{i}}+\frac{\partial u_{i}^{0}(\xi)}{\partial t}+\sum_{j=1}^{3} u_{j}^{0}(\xi) \frac{\partial u_{i}^{0}(\xi)}{\partial x_{j}}=v \nabla^{2} u_{i}^{0}(\xi) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

How $\xi_{i}=x_{i}+t$ then $\frac{\partial \xi_{i}}{\partial x_{i}}=\frac{\partial \xi_{i}}{\partial t}=1$ and $\frac{\partial \xi_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}=0$ if $i \neq j$, so using the chain rule ${ }^{[1]}$ we have, for each parcel in (10) and (12),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial p(X, t)}{\partial x_{i}}=\frac{\partial p^{0}(\xi)}{\partial x_{i}}=\sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{\partial p^{0}(\xi)}{\partial \xi_{j}} \frac{\partial \xi_{j}}{\partial x_{i}}=\frac{\partial p^{0}(\xi)}{\partial \xi_{i}}  \tag{13.1}\\
& \frac{\partial u_{i}(X, t)}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial u_{i}^{0}(\xi)}{\partial t}=\sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{\partial u_{i}^{0}(\xi)}{\partial \xi_{j}} \frac{\partial \xi_{j}}{\partial t}=\sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{\partial u_{i}^{0}(\xi)}{\partial \xi_{j}}  \tag{13.2}\\
& u_{j}(X, t) \frac{\partial u_{i}(X, t)}{\partial x_{j}}=u_{j}^{0}(\xi) \frac{\partial u_{i}^{0}(\xi)}{\partial x_{j}}=u_{j}^{0}(\xi) \frac{\partial u_{i}^{0}(\xi)}{\partial \xi_{j}} \frac{\partial \xi_{j}}{\partial x_{j}}= \tag{13.3}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
=u_{j}^{0}(\xi) \frac{\partial u_{i}^{0}(\xi)}{\partial \xi_{j}}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla^{2} u_{i}(X, t)=\nabla^{2} u_{i}^{0}(\xi)=\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3}}\right) u_{i}^{0}(\xi)=  \tag{13.4}\\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{3}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_{j}} \frac{\partial \xi_{j}}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_{j}} \frac{\partial \xi_{j}}{\partial x_{j}}\right) u_{i}^{0}(\xi)=\sum_{j=1}^{3}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_{j}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_{j}}\right) u_{i}^{0}(\xi)= \\
& =\nabla_{\xi}^{2} u_{i}^{0}(\xi)
\end{align*}
$$

Adding the parcels (13), with (13.3) for each integer $j=1,2,3$ and the multiplication of (13.4) by viscosity coefficient $v$, we come to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial p^{0}(\xi)}{\partial \xi_{i}}+\sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{\partial u_{i}^{0}(\xi)}{\partial \xi_{j}}+\sum_{j=1}^{3} u_{j}^{0}(\xi) \frac{\partial u_{i}^{0}(\xi)}{\partial \xi_{j}}=v \nabla_{\xi}^{2} u_{i}^{0}(\xi) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is equivalent to previous Navier-Stokes equations (10) and (12) with the solution (11), although it is not a conventional Navier-Stokes equation because the time derivative disappears, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u_{i}(X, t)}{\partial t} \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{\partial u_{i}^{0}(\xi)}{\partial \xi_{j}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the right side of (15) is not $\frac{\partial u_{i}^{0}(\xi)}{\partial t}+\sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{\partial u_{i}^{0}(\xi)}{\partial \xi_{j}}$, because here $u_{i}^{0}$ is, initially, a function only of $\xi=\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}\right)$, not including $t$, but each $\xi_{i}$ is a function of $t$ and for this reason here is $\frac{\partial u_{i}(X, t)}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial u_{i}^{0}(\xi)}{\partial t}=\sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{\partial u_{i}^{0}(\xi)}{\partial \xi_{j}} \frac{\partial \xi_{j}}{\partial t}=$ $\sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{\partial u_{i}^{0}(\xi)}{\partial \xi_{j}}$, with $\xi_{j}=x_{j}+t, \frac{\partial \xi_{j}}{\partial t}=1$.

In $t=0$, when $\xi_{i}=x_{i}$, the equation (14) became

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial p^{0}(X)}{\partial x_{i}}+\sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{\partial u_{i}^{0}(X)}{\partial x_{j}}+\sum_{j=1}^{3} u_{j}^{0}(X) \frac{\partial u_{i}^{0}(X)}{\partial x_{j}}=v \nabla^{2} u_{i}^{0}(X) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

If this equation is equivalent to (2) then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{\partial u_{i}(X, t)}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0}=\sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{\partial u_{i}^{0}(X)}{\partial x_{j}} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is thereby a good manner of define or choose the temporal derivative of velocity at $t=0$ when the solution for velocity is $u(X, t)=u^{0}(\xi)$.

Similarly, for $t>0$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u_{i}(X, t)}{\partial t}=\sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{\partial u_{i}^{0}(\xi)}{\partial \xi_{j}}, \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

$X=(x, y, z), \xi=\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}\right), \xi_{i}=\xi_{i}(X, t)=x_{i}+t, 1 \leq i \leq 3$.
Concluding, assuming that (9), identical to (11), is a solution for (1), identical to (10), we come to (16) for $t=0$, which is equivalent to (2) with the additional initial condition (17) and it has a solution $\left(u^{0}(X), p^{0}(X)\right)$. This is what we wanted to prove.

Next, we will prove the opposite way of the previous demonstration: if $u^{0}(x, y, z)$ and $p^{0}(x, y, z)$ solve (1) for $t=0$, i.e., if both $u^{0}(x, y, z)$ and $p^{0}(x, y, z)$ solve (2), then the variables ( $u, p$ ) given in (9.1) and (9.2) solve (1) for $t \geq 0$. This is the fundamental result of this section. The proof basically follows what we write from beginning of this section until the equations (9), increasing the transformations (13) and the conditions (17) and (18). We'll use the chain rule ${ }^{[1]}$ again.

Proof: If $u^{0}(x, y, z)$ and $p^{0}(x, y, z)$ solve the three-dimensional incompressible ( $\nabla \cdot u=\nabla \cdot u^{0}=0$ ) Navier-Stokes equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial p(X, t)}{\partial x_{i}}+\frac{\partial u_{i}(X, t)}{\partial t}+\sum_{j=1}^{3} u_{j}(X, t) \frac{\partial u_{i}(X, t)}{\partial x_{j}}=v \nabla^{2} u_{i}(X, t) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t=0$, with $1 \leq i \leq 3, X=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}, x_{1} \equiv x, x_{2} \equiv y, x_{3} \equiv z, x_{i}, t \in \mathbb{R}$, $t \geq 0$, then in $t=0$ is valid, for each integer $i$ belongs to $1 \leq i \leq 3$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial p^{0}(X)}{\partial x_{i}}+\left.\frac{\partial u_{i}(X, t)}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0}+\sum_{j=1}^{3} u_{j}^{0}(X) \frac{\partial u_{i}^{0}(X)}{\partial x_{j}}=v \nabla^{2} u_{i}^{0}(X) . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Supposing that $u(x, y, z, t)=u^{0}(x+t, y+t, z+t)$ and $p(x, y, z, t)=$ $p^{0}(x+t, y+t, z+t)$ is a solution ( $u, p$ ) for (19), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial p^{0}(\xi)}{\partial x_{i}}+\frac{\partial u_{i}^{0}(\xi)}{\partial t}+\sum_{j=1}^{3} u_{j}^{0}(\xi) \frac{\partial u_{i}^{0}(\xi)}{\partial x_{j}}=v \nabla^{2} u_{i}^{0}(\xi) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

using $\xi=\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}\right)$ and $\xi_{i}=\xi_{i}(X, t)=x_{i}+t, 1 \leq i \leq 3$.
For $t=0$ the equations (20) and (21) are equals, because in $t=0$ we have $\xi_{i}=x_{i}$ and therefore $\xi=\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}\right)=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=X$.

For $t>0$, if (20) is valid for any $X=(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ then (21) is valid for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \quad$ substituting $\quad x \mapsto \xi_{1}=x+t, y \mapsto \xi_{2}=y+t, z \mapsto \xi_{3}=z+t, x, y, z \in$ $\mathbb{R}, t \geq 0$, according transformations (22) below, so $u(x, y, z, t)=u^{0}(x+t, y+$ $t, z+t)$ and $p(x, y, z, t)=p^{0}(x+t, y+t, z+t)$, i.e., $\quad u(X, t)=u^{0}(\xi) \quad$ and $p(X, t)=p^{0}(\xi)$, solve equation (21) and therefore the Navier-Stokes equation (19).

How $\xi_{i}=x_{i}+t$ then $\frac{\partial \xi_{i}}{\partial x_{i}}=\frac{\partial \xi_{i}}{\partial t}=1$ and $\frac{\partial \xi_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}=0$ if $i \neq j$, so using the chain rule ${ }^{[1]}$ we have, for each parcel in (21),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial p^{0}(\xi)}{\partial x_{i}}=\frac{\partial p(\xi(X, t))}{\partial x_{i}}=\sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{\partial p^{0}(\xi)}{\partial \xi_{j}} \frac{\partial \xi_{j}}{\partial x_{i}}=\frac{\partial p^{0}(\xi)}{\partial \xi_{i}} \tag{22.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial u_{i}^{0}(\xi)}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial u_{i}(\xi(X, t))}{\partial t}=\sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{\partial u_{i}^{0}(\xi)}{\partial \xi_{j}} \frac{\partial \xi_{j}}{\partial t}=\sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{\partial u_{i}^{0}(\xi)}{\partial \xi_{j}}  \tag{22.2}\\
& u_{j}^{0}(\xi) \frac{\partial u_{i}^{0}(\xi)}{\partial x_{j}}=u_{j}(\xi(X, t)) \frac{\partial u_{i}(\xi(X, t))}{\partial x_{j}}=u_{j}^{0}(\xi) \frac{\partial u_{i}^{0}(\xi)}{\partial \xi_{j}} \frac{\partial \xi_{j}}{\partial x_{j}}=  \tag{22.3}\\
& =u_{j}^{0}(\xi) \frac{\partial u_{i}^{0}(\xi)}{\partial \xi_{j}} \\
& \nabla^{2} u_{i}^{0}(\xi)=\nabla^{2} u_{i}(\xi(X, t))=\sum_{j=1}^{3}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}\right) u_{i}^{0}(\xi(X, t))=  \tag{22.4}\\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{3}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_{j}} \frac{\partial \xi_{j}}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_{j}} \frac{\partial \xi_{j}}{\partial x_{j}}\right) u_{i}^{0}(\xi)=\sum_{j=1}^{3}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_{j}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_{j}}\right) u_{i}^{0}(\xi)= \\
& =\nabla_{\xi}^{2} u_{i}^{0}(\xi)
\end{align*}
$$

The equation (21) transformed through by (22) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial p^{0}(\xi)}{\partial \xi_{i}}+\sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{\partial u_{i}^{0}(\xi)}{\partial \xi_{j}}+\sum_{j=1}^{3} u_{j}^{0}(\xi) \frac{\partial u_{i}^{0}(\xi)}{\partial \xi_{j}}=v \nabla_{\xi}^{2} u_{i}^{0}(\xi) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is, we transform $X \mapsto \xi$ and from $\xi_{i}=x_{i}+t$ we have $\frac{\partial \xi_{i}}{\partial x_{i}}=1$ and therefore $\partial x_{i}=\partial \xi_{i}$.

The unexpected transformation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u_{i}^{0}(\xi)}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial u_{i}(\xi(X, t))}{\partial t}=\sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{\partial u_{i}^{0}(\xi)}{\partial \xi_{j}} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

making (23) not be in the form of a standard Navier-Stokes equation. In $t=0$ the transformation (24) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{\partial u_{i}^{0}(\xi)}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0}=\left.\frac{\partial u_{i}(\xi(X, t))}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0}=\left.\frac{\partial u_{i}(X, t)}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0}=\sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{\partial u_{i}^{0}(X)}{\partial x_{j}} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\xi_{j}=x_{j}, \xi=X$, for $t=0$, thus we need to assume the additional initial condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{\partial u_{i}(X, t)}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0}=\sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{\partial u_{i}^{0}(X)}{\partial x_{j}} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

when the solution for Navier-Stokes equation (1), identical to (19), is given by (9), i.e.,

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(X, t)=u^{0}(\xi)  \tag{27.1}\\
& p(X, t)=p^{0}(\xi) \tag{27.2}
\end{align*}
$$

$X=(x, y, z), \xi=\xi(X, t)=(x+t, y+t, z+t)$.
Concluding, if $\left(u^{0}(X), p^{0}(X)\right)$ solve (2), identical to (20), substituting in (20) the transformation $X \mapsto \xi, X=(x, y, z), \xi=\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}\right), \xi_{i}=x_{i}+t$, we come to (23),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial p^{0}(\xi)}{\partial \xi_{i}}+\sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{\partial u_{i}^{0}(\xi)}{\partial \xi_{j}}+\sum_{j=1}^{3} u_{j}^{0}(\xi) \frac{\partial u_{i}^{0}(\xi)}{\partial \xi_{j}}=v \nabla_{\xi}^{2} u_{i}^{0}(\xi) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

assuming the additional initial condition (26)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{\partial u_{i}(X, t)}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0}=\sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{\partial u_{i}^{0}(X)}{\partial x_{j}} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

due to transformation (24),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u_{i}^{0}(\xi)}{\partial t}=\sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{\partial u_{i}^{0}(\xi)}{\partial \xi_{j}} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (30) in (28) we come to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial p^{0}(\xi)}{\partial \xi_{i}}+\frac{\partial u_{i}^{0}(\xi)}{\partial t}+\sum_{j=1}^{3} u_{j}^{0}(\xi) \frac{\partial u_{i}^{0}(\xi)}{\partial \xi_{j}}=v \nabla_{\xi}^{2} u_{i}^{0}(\xi) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

the Navier-Stokes equations with the solution $\left(u^{0}(\xi), p^{0}(\xi)\right)$, i.e., $(u(X, t), p(X, t))$, according (27), identical to (9).

Using (27) and $\partial \xi_{i}=\partial x_{i}$ in (31) we come finally to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial p(X, t)}{\partial x_{i}}+\frac{\partial u_{i}(X, t)}{\partial t}+\sum_{j=1}^{3} u_{j}(X, t) \frac{\partial u_{i}(X, t)}{\partial x_{j}}=v \nabla_{X}^{2} u_{i}(X, t) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

the Navier-Stokes equations (1) with the solution $(u(X, t), p(X, t))$. This is what we wanted to prove.

What we see in the two previous proofs can be applied, with the obvious adaptations, to solutions of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(X, t)=u^{0}(\xi)  \tag{33.1}\\
& p(X, t)=p^{0}(\xi) \tag{33.2}
\end{align*}
$$

$X=(x, y, z), \xi=\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}\right), \xi_{i}=x_{i}+T_{i}(t), T_{i}(0)=0,1 \leq i \leq 3$,
with the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u_{i}(X, t)}{\partial t}=\sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{\partial u_{i}^{0}(\xi)}{\partial \xi_{j}} \frac{\partial \xi_{j}}{\partial t}=\sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{\partial u_{i}^{0}(\xi)}{\partial \xi_{j}} T_{j}^{\prime}(t) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{\partial u_{i}(X, t)}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0}=\sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{\partial u_{i}^{0}(\xi)}{\partial \xi_{j}} T_{j}^{\prime}(0)=\sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{\partial u_{i}^{0}(X)}{\partial x_{j}} T_{j}^{\prime}(0) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

being the functions $T_{i}(t)$ differentiable of class $C^{1}([0, \infty))$. In this case the equations (23) and (28) are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial p^{0}(\xi)}{\partial \xi_{i}}+\sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{\partial u_{i}^{0}(\xi)}{\partial \xi_{j}} T_{j}^{\prime}(t)+\sum_{j=1}^{3} u_{j}^{0}(\xi) \frac{\partial u_{i}^{0}(\xi)}{\partial \xi_{j}}=  \tag{36}\\
& =\frac{\partial p^{0}(\xi)}{\partial \xi_{i}}+\sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{\partial u_{i}^{0}(\xi)}{\partial \xi_{j}}\left[T_{j}^{\prime}(t)+u_{j}^{0}(\xi)\right]=v \nabla_{\xi}^{2} u_{i}^{0}(\xi)
\end{align*}
$$

Note that the equation (34) implies

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{i}(X, t) & =u_{i}^{0}(X)+\int_{0}^{t} \sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{\partial u_{i}^{0}(\xi)}{\partial \xi_{j}} T_{j}^{\prime}(t) d t=  \tag{37}\\
& =u_{i}^{0}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}\right)=u_{i}^{0}\left(x_{1}+T_{1}(t), x_{2}+T_{2}(t), x_{3}+T_{3}(t)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

that must be true for all differentiable function $u_{i}^{0}(\xi)$ with $\xi_{i}=x_{i}+T_{i}(t), T_{i}(t)$ differentiable, $T_{i}(0)=0,1 \leq i \leq 3$.

It is clear that in the Eulerian description ${ }^{[2]}$ the computational and analytical challenges will be, more than solving the Navier-Stokes equations for $t>0$, solve these equations for $t=0$, the initial instant. Unfortunately, it is not for all pair of values ( $u^{0}, p^{0}$ ) that exists solution to the equation (28) and related equations, so or $u^{0}$ is a function of $p^{0}$, or $p^{0}$ is a function of $u^{0}$, or both $u^{0}$ and $p^{0}$ are functions of another functions. Nevertheless, must have at least one solution to these equations, what is easy to resolve transforming (28) and similar equations in the Lagrangian formulation ${ }^{[2]}$ for velocity, assuming that the correspondent derivatives and integrations are possible.

NOTE: A few days ago I realized the possibility of proving the invariance of waves and Maxwell's equations with respect to Galilean transformations with this method, without the need to introduce the famous coefficient $\sqrt{1-\frac{v^{2}}{c^{2}}}$ of Einstein, including the Schrodinger equation. This also seems to be able to reach to the General Relativity.

## References

[1] Apostol, Tom M., Calculus, vol. II, chap. 8. New York: John Wiley \& Sons (1969).
[2] Childress, Stephen, An Introduction to Theoretical Fluid Mechanics, Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 19. New York: Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences (2009).

