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The neutrino mass in four different independent formulations has been successfully calculated on the basis of the mechanistic interpretation of J.Wheeler’s geometrodynamics concept. Mechanical analogue of the weak interaction is presented. Its adequacy is confirmed by the various variants for calculating the neutrino mass. The calculated mass agrees well with the indirect estimation of the neutrino mass obtained on the basis of cosmological data. It has been established that neutrinos can change its structure and properties, in particular, a magnetic moment, that leads to changes in the power of detected neutrinos flow (neutrino oscillations). The time constant of neutrino oscillations is calculated.

1 Introduction
The geometrodynamics of the famous scientist Wheeler John Archibald, who passed away in 2008, does not seem to find favor among modern physicists.

According to J.Wheeler’s geometrodynamics concept charged microparticles are considered therein as singular points located in a non-unitary coherent two-dimensional surface and connected to each other through “wormholes”, current tubes, or current force lines of the input-output (source-drain) kind in an additional dimension, thus forming a closed contour. However, "wormholes" in space, if they are not considered as purely mathematical constructions, in its physical embodiment can only be vortex formations in some kind substance that has the properties of an ideal fluid.

Assuming their existence, consistently developing and complicating the concept, one has managed to develop the mechanistic model, in which the properties of objects both in microcosm and space scale are grounded and defined, at that by using only the most general laws [1 - 4]. The determination of the neutrino mass and the calculation of other characteristic parameters set out later in this article are the final confirmation of the correctness of the chosen model.

Experiments on the direct measurement of the neutrino mass, based on the kinematics of weak decays, to date do not give the exact value of neutrino masses, but only set the upper limit for it, which is constantly decreasing. The lowest limit is obtained indirectly – by studying cosmological data on relict radiation, galaxies recession and other. According Adam Moss and Richard Battye’s analysis of the data of Space Telescope "Plank" and their comparison with gravitational lensing observations on distant galaxies gives an upper limit for the total amount of neutrino masses of about 0.320 ± 0.081 eV [5].

2 Initial conditions
Recall that in the proposed model it has been revealed that from a purely mechanistic point of view the charge only manifests the degree of the
nonequilibrium state of physical vacuum; it is proportional to the momentum of physical vacuum in its motion along the contour of the vortical current tube. Respectively, the spin is proportional to the angular momentum of the physical vacuum with respect to the longitudinal axis of the contour, while the magnetic interaction of the conductors is analogous to the forces acting among the current tubes [1].

In such a formulation the electric constant \( \varepsilon_0 \) makes sense the linear density of the vortex current tube

\[
\varepsilon_0 = m_e/r_e = 3.233 \times 10^{-16} \text{ kg/m,} \tag{1}
\]

and the value of inverse magnetic constant makes sense the centrifugal force

\[
1/\mu_0 = c^2\varepsilon_0 = 29.06 \text{ n} \tag{2}
\]

appearing by the rotation of an element of the vortex tube of the mass \( m_e \) and the classical radius \( r_e \) with the light velocity \( c \); this force is equivalent to the force acting between two elementary charges at the given radius.

Elementary particles are likened vortex structures in an ideal fluid which can stay in two extreme forms — the vortex at the surface along the X-axis (let it be the analog of a fermion of the mass \( m_x \)) and the vortex thread or vortical current tube under the surface of the peripheral velocity \( v \), the radius \( r \) and the length \( l_y \) along the Y-axis (let it be the analog of a boson of the mass \( m_y \)). These structures oscillate inside a real medium, passing through one another (forming an oscillation of oscillations) demonstrating that a mass (an energy) can have two states and pass from one form to another.

In paper [2] proceeding from the conditions of conservation of charge and constancy parameters \( \mu_0 \) and \( \varepsilon_0 \), the parameters of the vortex thread \( m_y, v, r \) for an arbitrary \( p^+ - e^- \) -contour defined as

\[
m_y = (an)^2 m_e, \tag{3}
\]

\[
v = \left( c_0 \frac{1}{3}/(an)^2 \right) c, \tag{4}
\]

\[
r = \left( c_0 \frac{2}{3}/(an)^4 \right) r_e, \tag{5}
\]

where \( n \) is the quantum number, \( a \) is the inverse fine structure constant, \( c_0 \) is the dimensionless light velocity \( c / [\text{m/sec}] \).

Wherein, referring to the constancy \( \varepsilon_0 \) (linear density), it is clear that the relative length of the tube current in the units of \( r_e \) is equal the boson mass \( m_y \) in the units of \( m_e \), i.e.

\[
l_y = m_y = (an)^2. \tag{6}
\]
In the framework of the model the particles themselves are a kind of a contour of subsequent order, formed by the intersection of the X-surface with the current tube, and they have their own quantum numbers defining the zone of influence of these microparticles.

In [2] we determined that for a proton

\[ n_p = \left(2c_0/a_5^5\right)^{1/4} = 0.3338, \]  

while for an electron \( n_e = (n_p)^{1/2} = 0.5777. \)

To calculate the mass of an arbitrary fermion \( m_i \), a formula obtained

\[ m_i = m_e(n_e/n_i)^{1/4}. \]  

Hereinafter all the numerical values of the mass, size and speed are given in dimensionless units: as a proportion of the electron mass \( m_e \), its radius \( r_e \) and speed of light \( c \).

It is important to note that the contour or vortex tube (which the vortex thread fills helically) can be regarded as completely "stretched", i.e. elongated proportional to \( 1/r \) or, contrary, extremely "compressed", i.e. shortened proportional to \( 1/r \) and filling all the vortex tube of radius \( r_e \). In the latter case its compressed length \( L_p = l_y r \) is numerically equal to the energy of the contour boson mass in the mass-energy units \( m_e c^2 \).

Indeed, because \( r = v^2 \) then the above quantities values (expressed in dimensionless units) are in all cases identical and for an arbitrary axis are

\[ L_{pi} = l_i r_i = m_i v_i^2 = c_0 2^{1/3}/(a n_i)^2. \]  

It is obvious that an arbitrary boson mass in the units of mass-energy will match of its own numerical value \( m_i \) only in the case of ultimate excitation of the vortex tube wherein we have \( r \rightarrow r_e \) and \( v \rightarrow c \).

When considering the closed contour having contra-directional currents from the balance of magnetic and gravitational forces recorded in a "Coulombless" form, the characteristic size of a contour as a geometrical mean of two linear values [2] is obtained, which in the \( r_e \) units has the form:

\[ l_k = (l_i r_i)^{1/2} = (z_{g1} z_{g2}/z_{e1} z_{e2})^{1/2} (2\pi \rho_e)^{1/2} \text{[sec]}, \]  

where \( z_{g1}, z_{g2}, z_{e1}, z_{e2}, r_i, l_i \) are gravitational masses and charges expressed through masses and charges of an electron, a distance between current tubes and theirs length, \( \gamma \) is the gravitational constant, \( \rho_e \) is the electron density \( m_e/r_e^3 = 4.071 \times 10^{13} \text{ kg/m}^3 \).

In the \( p+e^- \) -contour proton quarks become the active part of the proton mass and are involved in the circulation. Their mass as \( z_k \) enters into the equation (10). When a proton and an electron are approaching, for example, in the case of e-capture, the contour becomes deformed and reduced.
3 Determination of the neutrino mass from the conditions of weak interaction

Let the neutrino is a particle having fermion and boson parts; the latter is separated in the weak interaction process (for example, when electron-proton absorption occurs) from the proton-electron X-contour into the region Y; see Figure 1. Let us find the neutrino mass \textit{on the basis on the parameters of the neutrino vortex tube.}

For the X-contour, referring to (9), its energy-mass in units of \(m_e c^2\) is

\[
L_{px} = c_0^{2/3}/(an_x)^2.
\]  

(11)

It is necessary to define the same parameters \(n_\nu\) and \(L_{py}\) for a neutrino. Because of the special stability of a neutrino one can assume that its structure is characterized by all possible balances and symmetries.

Fig.1: Scheme of a neutrino formation

Proceeding from energy balance, we assume that the active part of the proton, i.e. the quark energy-mass, equal to the neutrino boson vortex tube energy-mass

\[
m_k = L_{py} = c_0^{2/3}/(an_y)^2.
\]  

(12)

For the \(p^+ - e^- - X\)-contour it is accepted: \(z_{g1}/z_{e1}=1, z_{e2}=1\) and \(z_{g2} = m_k = L_{py}\). Then, using (9), from (10) we get:

\[
L_{px} / L_{py} = 2\pi \rho_e \ast [\text{sec}^2].
\]  

(13)
Assume, due to symmetry that the contour large axis along X-axis and the neutrino vortex tube along Y-axis are equal, i.e., \( r_x = l_y \). Then, referring to (5) and (6), the relation between the quantum parameters X and Y-contours is obtained:

\[
n_y n_x^2 = c_0^{1/3}/a^3.
\]  

(14)

Proceeding from the formulas (11-14), as a result, we have

\[
L_{px} = c_0^{4/9} (2\pi\rho e^*[\sec^2])^{1/3} = 1.51 \times 10^5 \text{ (77 ГэВ)},
\]  

(15)

\[
L_{py} = m_k = c_0^{4/3}/L_{px}^2 = 8.83 \text{ (4.51 МэВ)},
\]  

(16)

as well as the quantum parameter of the neutrino vortex tube

\[
n_y = c_0^{1/3}/(aL_{py}^{1/2}) = 1.643.
\]  

(17)

Now, according to the equation (8) the neutrino fermion mass is found:

\[
m_\nu = (n_e/n_y)^{14} = (0.5777/1.643)^{14} = 4.39 \times 10^{-7} \text{ (0.225 эВ)}.
\]  

(18)

Additional sequels appear: the X-contour energy-mass is very close to a W-boson mass (80 GeV), and the estimated mass of a quark agrees well with that of the d-quark (4.8 MeV).

In a more detailed consideration of the weak interaction process the possibility of finding the neutrino mass from the conservation of energy and symmetry is detected. In the process of e-capture the proton-electron X-contour is reduced and deformed in the Y-region. Being already the neutrino Y-contour, it contains instead of the electron mass the neutrino mass. Let us assume that at some intermediate state, before the allocation in the vortex tube form, Y-contour still maintains its momentum (unit charge). In this case the formula (15) includes a neutrino mass \( m_\nu \) (in units of \( m_e \)) and at \( z_{e2} = 1 \), and applied to the neutrino contour it has the form:

\[
(L_{px})_\nu = c_0^{4/9} m_\nu^{1/3} (2\pi\rho e^*[\sec^2])^{1/3}.
\]  

(19)

At the same time the X-contour initial energy-mass \( L_{px} \) have been transformed into the proton active part energy-mass (i.e., the quark mass \( (L_{py})_\nu \)). Then, referring to (16), we can write

\[
L_{px} = (L_{py})_\nu = c_0^{4/3}/(L_{px})_\nu^2.
\]  

(20)

As a result, considering (15) and (19) from (20) we obtain:

\[
m_\nu = (2\pi\rho e^*[\sec^2])^{-3/2}
\]  

(21)
that gives $4.5 \times 10^{-7}$ (0.23 eV), the amount actually coincided with the result of the formula (18). With making the similar actions under the condition of the short axes equality $r_y = l$, then the same result has been got. In this case, on the contrary, $L_{px} = (L_{px})_y$ that apparently corresponds to the inverse process of the neutron in proton transformation.

Finally, the neutrino mass can be derived from the conditions of complete symmetry, i.e., from the state that is intermediate between the neutron and the proton when $X$- and $Y$-contours merge into one symmetrical contour at the zero point coordinates. This state apparently occurs only under some distinctive amount of the neutrino contour charge, namely, it is the charge value per one structure unit of the standard contour (per a photon) or $e_0/a$ [1].

Indeed, since for a symmetrical contour $n_x = n_y$, $l = r = c_0^{2/9}$, and $L_{px} = L_{py} = c_0^{4/9}$, then by introducing into the initial formula (10) $z e_2 = 1/a$ from (19) we obtain

$$m_\nu = a^{-1} \left(2 \pi \rho e^*[sec^2]\right)^{-1}, \quad (22)$$

that gives $4.28 \times 10^{-7}$ (0.219 eV), the amount actually coincided with the results of the formulas (18) and (21).

Note that if a single photon have a linear size of $1/a$ of the standard contour length, i.e. the value of $c_0^{2/3}/a$, then a neutrino have a similar value of $c_0^{2/3}m_\nu$ or 0.192 $r_e$. This value is about 1/3 of the proton diameter; it is the linear quark dimension along the axis X. Indeed, since for quark $n = 0.48$, then $r_x = c_0^{2/3}/(an)^{3.5} = 0.194 r_e$ [2]. This coincidence additionally points to the correctness of the proton quark model, as set out earlier.

Full symmetry and combining of the $p+\rightarrow e_{--}$ contour and the neutrino contour are possible only in a special excited state of the nucleon. In reality, the electromagnetic interaction (nominal axis X) and weak interaction (nominal axis Y) are realized separately, and then only in a certain scale range, forming three generations of elementary particles [2]. That is, here there is a mechanical analog of spontaneous electroweak symmetry breaking in the SM.

Thus, the proposed model clearly describes the process of the weak interaction (how a proton absorbs an electron). The proton-electronic contour is reduced until the energy-mass equal to the energy of W-particles. Then it transmits this energy and momentum (charge) to a proton, transforming it into an excited state (neutron); further the contour is allocated into $Y$-region as the neutrino vortex tube with parameter $n_y = 1.643$, keeping your spin and having the value of energy-mass equal to that of the light d-quark.

4 Determination of the neutrino mass from the limit conditions

At last, the neutrino mass is possible to find directly from the magnetic-gravitational equilibrium conditions, from the equation (10), by substituting the limit conditions.

A vortex thread or tube in a no viscous medium can be either closed or having an output to the surface of X, that is having a charge. The neutrino has no detectable charge and, therefore, it represents a closed structure or a contour.
Assume that Planck’s size \( r_h=\left(\frac{\hbar \gamma}{c^3}\right)^{1/2} \) has a physical meaning and it is the minimum size of the elementary neutrino vortex contour, i.e., \( r_i=r_h=1.616\times10^{-35}\text{ m} \) or \( 5.735\times10^{-21}\text{ m} \). Then, taking into account (5) and (6), a geometric mean is obtained from (10) as
\[
l_k = c_0^{1/6} r_h^{1/4}. \tag{23}
\]

In [2] it is shown that an electron vortex tube includes three vortex zones. But as one of the zones needs to be double, there should in general be four vortex threads containing by one-quarter of the electron total momentum (charge). Therefore, the elementary neutrino should be viewed as a pair of closed vortex threads. Accordingly, there are two types of neutrinos are possible: a pair of left-right rotation and conversely a pair of right-left rotation, obviously, as a neutrino and an antineutrino.

For a pair of vortex threads at \( z_{e1}=z_{e2}=\frac{1}{4} e_0 \) and at \( z_{g1}=z_{g2} \), having in mind (23), from (10) it should be:
\[
z_g = m_\nu = c_0^{1/6} r_h^{1/4}/(32\pi\gamma\rho_e*[\text{sec}^2])^{1/2}, \tag{24}
\]
that gives \( 4.31\times10^{-7} \) (0.220 eV), the amount actually coincide with the results of the formulas (18), (21), and (22). It should be noted that these results are the only ones of its kind since these formulas include only the fundamental constants. In addition, if the parameter \( \gamma \) to replace by the formula for the gravitational constant obtained in [2], resulting formulas for the neutrino mass will have even more simple form.

Thus, the two states of the neutrino are obtained - at the moment of birth in the form of a vortex tube and in its ultimate state in the form of a closed structure, and the fermion neutrino mass in the initial state turned out equal to the gravitational mass of the neutrino vortex threads in the ultimate state. Is it possible to reconcile these very different states? Perhaps, it must be admitted that since neutrinos vortex tubes initially contain all four single vortex threads then further the neutrino transforms into two potentially possible final forms (neutrino and antineutrino) maybe passing some intermediate states.

As for muon and tau-neutrinos, the mass of an electron in the formula (10) can be formally replaced by the masses of muon and tau-particles, provided that the linear density of the contour tube is not changed (it is not obvious). Then, as follows from the above formulas, the contours parameters are changed and contours are deformed, "stretching" along their axes; the X-contour energy-mass increases in proportion to the cube root of the relative weight of the microparticle. For the muon contour \( L_{\mu\nu} = 456 \text{ GeV} \), which is equal to twice the value of the total energy-mass of the standard p+ – e− -contour (229 GeV) [1]. For the tau-contour \( L_{\tau\nu} = 1170 \text{ GeV} \). This value is the sum of the neutrino energy and the expected boson energy-mass of the third generation, the heaviest one, which is not registered in experiment; that is, having the value of about 1000 GeV, which matches to the value defined earlier [2]. As follows from the above formulas masses of the muon and tau-neutrinos must be much less than the electron neutrino and the resulting
formulas give different results that may indicate the instability of these neutrinos, like other particles of the second and third generations.

The fact of the neutrino transformation is derived from the model and confirmed by the experimentally detected neutrino oscillations.

5 Neutrino magnetic properties and its oscillations

The neutrino boson vortex tube retains the electron spin, and has a magnetic moment $\mu$. The magnetic moment is determined relative to the axis $Y$. By definition, $\mu$ is the product of ($\text{charge} \times \text{velocity} \times \text{path}$). Suppose that for the vortex thread the peripheral speed is $v$, while the path is $\pi r$. Revealing $v$ and $r$ through (4) and (5), as a result we obtain

$$\mu = \pi c_0 e_0 r_e / (an)^6 \ \text{Am}^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (25)

(Ampere at a “Coulombless” system is equivalent to the acting force)

The neutrino magnetic moment in the moment of its allocation $\mu_{v0}$ according to formula (25) at $n_y = 1.643$ is equals $9.81 \times 10^{-31}$ Am$^2$. Moreover, it appears that this value with high accuracy is equal to the geometric mean of the proton magnetic moment $\mu_p$ and the vortex tube magnetic moment with average parameter $l_k$ (Compton wavelength), which complies to $n_y = 8.07$ [2]. Its magnetic moment $\mu_k = 6.99 \times 10^{-35}$ Am$^2$, which corresponds to $0.75 \times 10^{-11}$ Bohr’s magneton. That is,

$$\mu_{v0} = (\mu_p \mu_k)^{1/2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (26)

Such a large magnetic moment of neutrinos are not detected, but what is significant, it is the magnetic moment $\mu_k$ close to $10^{-11}$ Bohr’s magneton that requires the neutrino to explain the anticorrelation of the registered neutrino flow with the magnetic flow near the sun surface. It is assumed that the neutrino magnetic moment interacts with the magnetic field in the outer convective layers of the sun, which leads to the spin precession of neutrinos changing its helicity from left to right; and the right neutrinos are not registered by detectors [6, 7]. The same neutrino magnetic moment is required because of some astrophysical limitations regarding the dynamics of stars [7].

So it is logical to assume that originally very large the neutrino magnetic moment rapidly decreases to the value of about $10^{-11}$ Bohr’s magneton at the intersection of the sun surface, and in the neutrino ultimate state it becomes absolutely negligible one. The reason for this is the transformation of the neutrino contour, which is analogous to the process of the transformation of a neutron into a proton.

Indeed, if the counter comprises several vortex threads with co-directed currents, they must be rotated relative to the longitudinal axis. At the same time, since by definition an elementary unit of the model medium (vortex thread) is absolutely inelastic and at the same time is absolutely deformed, the closed counter must is being deformed ("turned out") in different structures by changing its parameters.
From the equality of magnetic and inertial (centrifugal) forces for the vortex threads the peripheral rotation speed relative to the longitudinal axis of the contour is obtained

\[ v_0 = \left( z e_1 z e_2 \right)^{1/2} r_e / (2\pi)^{1/2} \cdot \text{[sec]}. \] (27)

This speed does not depend on the length of the vortex threads and distances between them and for unit charges is 1.124*10^{-15} m/sec.

Earlier [2], it has been found that the time constant of the transformation process (the ratio of the counter size to the peripheral speed) has appeared equal to the neutron lifetime.

Similarly, the time constant for the neutrino can be expressed in the forms \( \tau_v = r_y / v_0 \). Then, referring to (5), with \( n = 1.643 \), we obtain \( \tau_v = 4.37 \times 10^{-4} \text{ sec} \) (the time constant should be increased with the decrease of the residual charge of the neutrino). During this time the neutrinos having the speed of light move away from the source at a distance of 1.31*10^5 m. If they would be transformed to another form, a decrease in their number would be registered when the detector would be displaced from the source at a distance not less than the calculated value.

It is the distance that largest neutrinos detector KamLAND (Kamioka Liquid scintillator Anti-Neutrino Detector, Honshu island, Japan) has registered a decrease of the neutrino flow in the nuclear reactors antineutrino experiments [8]; see Figure 2 (the data are taken from [8]).

![Figure 2: The ratio of the measured neutrinos flows in the expected ones if there is no oscillations for experiments with reactor neutrinos.](image)
6 Conclusion
Thus, for the first time the neutrino mass has been derived by theoretical methods. Moreover, the same result was then obtained in four different formulas and three of them on the basis of the classical mechanistic model (actually through the analogue of spontaneous electroweak symmetry breaking in the SM). The results coincided with the indirect estimate of the neutrino mass derived from cosmological data. It was established that neutrinos may be in various forms. It arises in the form of the electron neutrino with a mass of about 0.22 - 0.23 eV and further during the transition to its final state with the same mass may possibly change its parameters like the mass and magnetic moment, which results in the changes of a detectable power neutrino flow (oscillations). It is possible that the muon-neutrinos and tau-neutrinos are not stable. Apparently, they are the intermediate states of the totally stable electron neutrino.

The fact that the neutrino mass is obtained in several ways may indicate that the values of other fundamental constants can be obtained through the neutrino mass, which apparently is a key element of matter.
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