

①

How Gravitational
Power P_{GW} , and
Graviton count from EW
Era gives h_{ij}^T , and $m_{graviton}$

a. Beckwith
Chongqing University
PRC, 400014

Abstract:

Taking $P_{GW} \sim E^2/\tau^2$, and
 $E_{GW} \sim P_{GW} \tau \sim E^2/\tau$, where
 E is an explosion of energy, and
 $N_{graviton} \sim E^2/\hbar$, we solve for the
evolution of tensor (GW) perturbations
from EW era, with a small
constant h_{ij}^T from the start of
inflation. This leads to
 $10^{-34} \text{ eV} < m_g < 10^{-29} \text{ eV}$ for $h^T \sim 10^{-25}$

① Introduction:

The approximations from $P_{GW} \sim E^2/\tau^2$,
 $E_{GW} \sim E^2/\tau$, and $N_{graviton}$
 $\sim E^2/\hbar$ from dimensional
considerations allow us to
give dimensional input

(2)

into ~~the~~ the evolution

Equation for tensor (Gw)

perturbations of the metric

given by [1]

$$\ddot{h}_{ij}^T + 2\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\dot{h}_{ij}^T + k^2 h_{ij}^T = 8\pi G a^2 P \Pi_{ij}^T \quad (1)$$

P = pressure, and Π_{ij} = anisotropic

stresses, whereas we have [2]

$$\Pi_{ij}^2 = \Pi_{ij}^2 = f^2(k) \cdot a^{-4} \quad (2)$$

where [3]

$$f(k) \approx \frac{(2\pi)^4}{4} \frac{B_0^4}{k^3} \cdot \frac{(3+\eta)^2}{(3+2\eta)} \quad \text{when } -\frac{3}{2} < \eta \quad (3)$$

Here k_c is a critical parameter

and $B_0^2 \sim 2T^{00} \propto 2 \cdot \text{Energy density}$

We will commence solving

for Eq (1), provided $\Pi_{ij} \sim \text{constant}$

in early universe conditions, and

$$H_c = \text{hubble parameter} \sim \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \quad (4)$$

so, then Eq (1) reads

$$\ddot{h}_{ij}^T + 2H_c \dot{h}_{ij}^T + k^2 h_{ij}^T = 8\pi G a^2 P \Pi_{ij}^T \quad (5)$$

solving Eq (5) will be the

remainder of the manuscript

II

3

Solving Eq (5), with early universe hypothesis conditions for k space

First, we approximate [4]

$$\ddot{h}_{ij}^T + 2H_0 \dot{h}_{ij}^T + k^2 h_{ij}^T = 0 \quad (6)$$

by $h_{ij}^T \propto e^{-H_0 \tau} \cdot [A e^{i\sqrt{k^2 - H_0^2} \tau} + A^* e^{-i\sqrt{k^2 - H_0^2} \tau}]$
as a ~~general~~ general solution, (7)

The particular solution to above is

$$k^2 h_{ij}^T = 8\pi G a^2 \cdot p \cdot \left[\frac{f(k)}{a^2} \right] \quad (8)$$

which is

$$h_{ij}^T \Big|_{\text{particular k space}} \propto \left[\frac{8\pi G \cdot p \cdot (2\pi)^{11}}{k^2 \cdot 4} \right] \cdot \frac{B_0^4}{k^3} \quad (9)$$

In Fourier space, we have

$$h_{ij}^T \propto e^{-H_0 \tau} \cdot [A e^{i\sqrt{k^2 - H_0^2} \tau} + A^* e^{-i\sqrt{k^2 - H_0^2} \tau}] + (2\pi)^{12} \frac{G_0 p_0}{k^2} \cdot \frac{B_0^4}{k^3} \quad (10)$$

III

FT (to Real space) soln to Eq(10)

(4)

We use

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (2\pi) \cdot k^2 e^{-ik \cdot x} dk \quad \text{integration}$$

of eq (10), to obtain

$$h_{ij}^T(x) \propto \frac{e^{-H_0 T}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} k^2 e^{-ik \cdot x} \left[A e^{i\sqrt{k^2 - H_0^2} T} + A^* e^{-i\sqrt{k^2 - H_0^2} T} \right] \cdot dk \quad (11)$$

$$+ \frac{(2\pi)^{11} G \cdot P \cdot B_0^4}{(2\pi)^{3/2} k_c^3} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ik \cdot x} dk$$

The 1st term of eq (11) is comparable to [5]

$$h_{ij}^T(x) \Big|_{\text{1st term}} \propto \begin{pmatrix} (k_+ + k_x) & 0 \\ k_x - k_+ & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{ij} \cos(\omega[t - \frac{z}{c}]) \quad (12)$$

As predicted in pre-inflation models, k_+ , k_x are $\sim 10^{-36}$ (13)

These are far below the threshold of detector sensitivity due to $e^{-H_0 T} \rightarrow 0^+ \sim 10^{-36} \sim 10^{-40}$ for very large T values

The 2nd term is where we will put our attention to

IV

Solving 2nd term of Eq (11) for present day:

$$\left| h_{ij}^T(x) \right| \sim \frac{(2\pi)^{11}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \cdot \frac{G \cdot P \cdot B_0^4}{k_c^3} \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ikx} dk \quad (13)$$

effective

Here, $(2\pi) \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ikx} dk \sim \delta(-x) \equiv \delta(x)$

But, we use a 'tempered' $\hat{\delta}(x)$ distribution [5] approximation to the delta fctn, and we also use $a_{ew} \sim 10^{-60}$, or so if EW was $10^{-36} - 10^{-10}$ seconds in duration, ~~leading~~ ^{after BB} to get

$$\left| h_{ij}^T(x) \right| \sim \frac{(2\pi)^{10}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \cdot \frac{G \cdot P \cdot B_0^4}{k_c^3} \cdot \frac{\hat{\delta}(x)}{10^{60}} \quad (14)$$

effective -
today's
conditions

so, if $\frac{\hat{\delta}(x)}{10^{60}} \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$

$$\left| h_{ij}^T \right| \sim \frac{(2\pi)^{10}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \cdot G \cdot P \cdot \frac{B_0^4}{k_c^3} \quad (15)$$

today's
condt

(V)

(6)

Conclusion: Present $|h_{ij}^T|$ magnitude:

Eq (15) can have its Bo term
Estimated as follows:

$$f N_{\text{Gravitons}} \sim \frac{E^2}{h\nu} \sim \frac{P_{\text{GW}} \tau}{h\nu} \quad (16)$$

∴

$$P_{\text{GW}} \sim \frac{F_{\text{New}}}{T_{\text{fine}}} \sim \frac{Mv^2}{T_{\text{fine}}} \quad (17)$$

$$f N_{\text{GW}} \sim 10^{50} \Rightarrow P_{\text{GW}} \sim 10^{20} \frac{F}{T_{\text{fine}}} \quad (18)$$

$$E^2 \sim P_{\text{GW}}^2 \tau^2 \sim (Mv^2)^2 \quad (19)$$
$$\sim \frac{1}{2} (E^2 + B_0^2)^2$$

Get upper bound to B_0^2 this

way:

From [1], [3], estimates

$$B_0 \sim 10^{-11} \text{ Gauss.}$$

Our effective B_0 is higher

Then, after Algebra:

$$f \cdot N \sim 10^{50} \text{ after } 10^{-20} \text{ seconds}$$

$$(a) \quad 10^{-31} \text{ eV}/c^2 \leq m_{\text{graviton}} \leq 10^{-29} \text{ eV}/c^2$$

$$(b) \quad \omega_c \gtrsim 10^7 \text{ Hz}$$

$$(c) \quad h\nu_{\text{today}} \sim 10^{-25} - 10^{-26}$$

VI Bibliography

[1]: R. Durrer

"Fundamentals in Cosmic
Physics": 15, 209, (1994)

[2]: R. F. Abbott and M. B.

Wise, "Nuclear Physics B 244, 541,
(1984)

[3] T. Kahniashvili and
R. Durrer

"C.M.B. Anisotropies Caused
by Gravitational Waves: Dependence
on Cosmological Parameters and
Primordial Magnetic Field
influence"

PP 287 - 293 of

"Gravitational Waves and
Experimental Gravity"

Rencontres De ~~Mont~~ Moriond 2000

Edited by J. Tran Thanh Van,

J. Dumarches, S. Reynaud, etc

[4] - Jose & Selivan 'Mechanics
- Classical ~~Mechanics~~^{Dynamics}, page 132

[5]: M. Maggiore: 'Gravitational
Waves Tome (2008) ~ part I